# ON $(k, l)$-RADIUS OF RANDOM GRAPHS 

M. HORVÁTHOVÁ

Abstract. We introduce the concept of $(k, l)$-radius of a graph and prove that for any fixed pair $k, l$ the $(k, l)$-radius is equal to $2\binom{k}{2}-\binom{l}{2}$ for almost all graphs. Since for $k=2$ and $l=0$ the $(k, l)$-radius is equal to the diameter, our result is a generalization of the known fact that almost all graphs have diameter two.

All graphs in this note are finite, undirected and simple. As usual, by distance between two vertices in a graph we mean the minimum length of a path connecting them. Then the diameter is the maximum distance between two vertices. The transmission of the graph, also called a distance of the graph, is defined as the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices (for general properties of the distance see [4]). The concepts of diameter and distance were generalized by Goddard, Swart and Swart in [3] by introducing the $k$-diameter as follows. The distance of $k$ vertices $d_{k}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ is the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices from $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$. The $k$-diameter is the maximum distance of a set of $k$ vertices. Hence the 2 -diameter is the usual diameter and if $n$ is the order of the graph, the $n$-diameter is the distance of the graph.

In this note we use the definition of distance of a set of $k$ vertices to define $(k, l)$-eccentricity and $(k, l)$-radius. We study ( $k, l$ )-radius of random graphs and determine the value of this parameter for almost all graphs in a probability space. We also discus the relationship between the $(k, l)$-radius and the $k$-diameter of a graph.

[^0]Let $S$ be a set of $l$ vertices, $0 \leq l \leq k$. We define $(k, l)$-eccentricity of $S, e_{k, l}(S)$, as the maximum distance of $k$ vertices $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}$, such that $S \subseteq\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\}$. In symbols,

$$
e_{k, l}(S)=\max _{T}\left\{d_{k}(T),|T|=k, S \subseteq T \subseteq V(G)\right\}
$$

The $(k, l)$-radius, $\operatorname{rad}_{k, l}(G)$, is the minimum $(k, l)$-eccentricity of a set of $l$ vertices in $G$, that is

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{k, l}(G)=\min _{S}\left(e_{k, l}(S)\right)=\min _{S}\left(\max _{S \subseteq T \subseteq V(G)} d_{k}(T)\right)
$$

where $|S|=l,|T|=k$.
We recall that the eccentricity $e(v)$ of a vertex $v$ is the maximum distance to another vertex, the radius $\operatorname{rad}(G)$ is the minimum eccentricity, whereas the diameter $\operatorname{diam}(G)$ is the maximum eccentricity. From the definition of $(k, l)$-radius it follows that $\operatorname{rad}_{2,1}(G)$ is the usual radius and $\operatorname{rad}_{k, 0}(G)$ is the $k$-diameter.

Now, consider the probability space in the following sense. Let $p$ be a real number, $0<p<1$, and let $n$ be an integer. By $G(n, p)$ we denote a class of labelled random graphs on $n$ vertices, in which the probability of an edge equals $p$. More precisely, for every $u, v \in V(G)$, we have $P[u v \in E(G)]=p$. Hence $G(n, p)$ is a probability space the elements of which are the $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ differently labelled graphs. We say that almost all graphs have property $A$ if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P[G \in G(n, p) \text { has property } A]=1
$$

The space of random graphs is one of the random structures studied in connection with the 0-1 law. This law states that for many properties. The probability that a random structure satisfies the property is guaranteed to approach either 0 or 1 . The $0-1$ law for graphs was proved by Glebskij [2] and later on by Fagin [1]. Fagin's method is based on considering the following properties.

Let $r$ and $s$ be nonnegative integers. By $A_{r, s}$ we denote the property that for any disjoint sets of vertices $X$ and $Y$, such that $|X|=r$ and $|Y|=s$, there exists a vertex $z, z \notin X \cup Y$ such that $z$ is adjacent to every vertex of $X$ and to no vertex of $Y$.

The following statements are well-known and their proofs can be found in the excellent survey by Winkler [5].

Theorem 1. [5] For any fixed nonnegative integers $r$ and $s$ and a real number $p, 0<p<1$ we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left[G \in G(n, p) \text { has property } A_{r, s}\right]=1
$$

Theorem 2. [5] Let be $T=\left\{A_{r_{1}, s_{1}}, A_{r_{2}, s_{2}}, \ldots, A_{r_{k}, s_{k}}\right\}$ for some $k \geq 0$. Then almost all graphs have all the properties of $T$.

From the fact that almost every graph has property $A_{2,0}$ (the distance of every pair of vertices is at most 2 ) and $A_{0,1}$ (the graph is not complete) we have:

Corollary 3. For any fixed real $p, 0<p<1$, almost all graphs are connected and have diameter 2 .
Now we are in a position to prove the main statement of this note.
Theorem 4. Let $k, l$ be nonnegative integers, $l \leq k$. For any fixed real $p, 0<p<1$, almost all graphs $G$ have

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{k, l}(G)=2\binom{k}{2}-\binom{l}{2} .
$$

Proof. Let $L$ be a set of $l$ vertices in a graph $G \in G(n, p)$. Let $p_{n}$ denote the probability $P[G \in G(n, p)$ has diameter 2]. Then with the same probability $p_{n}$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k, l}(L) \leq d_{l}(L)+2 l(k-l)+2\binom{k-l}{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Corollary $3 \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n}=1$, so that (1) holds for almost all graphs $G \in G(n, p)$. Now we prove that for almost all graphs

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k, l}(L) \geq d_{l}(L)+2 l(k-l)+2\binom{k-l}{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do this, it sufficies to prove that for almost all graphs there exist $k-l$ vertices from $V(G) \backslash L$ that are mutually nonadjacent and that are adjacent to no vertex of $L$. Let $T=\left\{A_{0, l}, A_{0, l+1}, \ldots, A_{0, k-1}\right\}$. By Theorem 2, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P[G \in G(n, p)$ has all properties of $T]=1$, i.e. almost all graphs $G$ have all properties of $T$.

1. Let $L_{l}=L$. Property $A_{0, l}$ says that there exists a vertex $z_{l+1} \in V(G) \backslash L$ that is adjacent to no vertex of $L$.
2. For $i=l+1, l+2, \ldots, k-1$ we define $L_{i}$ inductively by $L_{i}=L_{i-1} \cup z_{i}$. Then property $A_{0, i}$ impies that there exists a vertex $z_{i+1}$ that is adjacent to no vertex of $L_{i}$.

Hence, we have $k-l$ vertices $z_{l+1}, z_{l+2}, \ldots, z_{k}$ that are mutually nonadjacent and are adjacent to no vertex of $L_{l}$, which proves (2). Thus, from (1) and (2) we have that for almost all graphs

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k, l}(L)=d_{l}(L)+2 l(k-l)+2\binom{k-l}{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{rad}_{k, l}(G)=\min _{L} e_{k, l}(L)$, the radius is minimal whenever $d_{l}(L)$ is minimal, (see (3)). We show that in almost all graphs $G \in G(n, p)$ there exists a set $L^{\prime}$ of $l$ vertices, such that $d_{l}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\binom{l}{2}$. In other words, we show that there is a set $L^{\prime}$ of $l$ mutually adjacent vertices. Let $T^{\prime}=\left\{A_{1,0}, A_{2,0}, \ldots, A_{l-1,0}\right\}$. Then almost all graphs have all properties of $T^{\prime}$, since by Theorem $2 \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left[G \in G(n, p)\right.$ has all properties of $\left.T^{\prime}\right]=1$.

1. Let $L_{1}^{\prime}$ be a set containing a single vertex of G , say $L_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{z_{1}^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $\left|L_{1}^{\prime}\right|=1$ and $A_{1,0}$ says that there exists a vertex $z_{2}^{\prime}$ that is adjacent to $z_{1}^{\prime}$.
2. For $i=2,3, \ldots l-1$ let $L_{i}^{\prime}$ be a set of vertices, such that $L_{i}^{\prime}=L_{i-1}^{\prime} \cup z_{i}^{\prime}$. Then $\left|L_{i}^{\prime}\right|=i$ and $A_{i, 0}$ implies that there exists a vertex $z_{i+1}^{\prime}$ that is adjacent to all vertices of $L_{i}^{\prime}$.

In this way we obtain a set $L^{\prime}=L_{l}^{\prime}$ of $l$ vertices that are mutually adjacent, so that $d_{l}\left(L^{\prime}\right)=\binom{l}{2}$. Since $d_{l}(L)$ cannot be less then $\binom{l}{2}$ for any set of $l$ vertices, we have

$$
\operatorname{rad}_{k, l}=\binom{l}{2}+2 l(k-l)+2\binom{k-l}{2}=2\binom{k}{2}-\binom{l}{2}
$$

for almost all graphs $G \in G(n, p)$, as required.
Setting $l=0$ in Theorem 2 we obtain:
Corollary 5. For any $k \geq 0$ and for almost all graphs $G$ we have

$$
\operatorname{diam}_{k}(G)=k(k-1) .
$$

It is obvious that Corollary 5 generalizes Corollary 3. Further, setting $k=2$ and $l=1$ we obtain:
Corollary 6. For almost all graphs $G$ we have $\operatorname{rad}(G)=2$.
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