

IDEAL CONVERGENT SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY MUSIELAK-ORLICZ FUNCTION OVER n -NORMED SPACES

KULDIP RAJ AND SUNIL K. SHARMA

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we introduce sequence spaces using ideal convergence and Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ over n -normed spaces and examine some properties of the resulting sequence spaces.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 40A05, 46A45, 46B70.

Keywords: paranorm space, I -convergence, difference sequence space, Orlicz function, Musielak-Orlicz function, n -normed space

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The notion of ideal convergence was first introduced by P. Kostyrko [8] as a generalization of statistical convergence which was further studied in topological spaces by Das, Kostyrko, Wilczynski and Malik [2]. More applications of ideals can be seen in ([2], [3]). The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially developed by Gähler[4] in the mid of 1960's, while that of n -normed spaces one can see in Misiak[11]. As an interesting non linear generalization of a normed linear space which was subsequently studied by many others ([5],[17]) and references therein. Recently a lot of activities have been started to study sumability, sequence spaces and related topics in these non linear spaces (see [6],[18]). In particular Sahiner [18] combined these two concepts and investigated ideal sumability in these spaces and introduced certain sequence spaces using 2-norm.

We continue in this direction, by using Musielak-Orlicz function, generalized sequences and also ideals we introduce I -convergence of generalized sequences with respect to Musielak-Orlicz function in n -normed spaces.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a real linear space of dimension d , where $d \geq n \geq 2$. A real valued function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ on X^n satisfying the following four conditions:

1. $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent in X ;
2. $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is invariant under permutation;

3. $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and
4. $\|x + x', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$

is called an n -norm on X , and the pair $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called an n -normed space. For example, we may take $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ being equipped with the n -norm $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E$ = the volume of the n -dimensional paralleliped spanned by the vectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = |\det(x_{ij})|,$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed space of dimension $d \geq n \geq 2$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be linearly independent set in X . Then the following function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ on X^{n-1} defined by

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|_\infty = \max\{\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

defines an $(n - 1)$ -norm on X with respect to $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$.

A sequence (x_k) in a n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to converge to some $L \in X$ if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

A sequence (x_k) in a n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to be Cauchy if

$$\lim_{k, p \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x_p, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

If every cauchy sequence in X converges to some $L \in X$, then X is said to be complete with respect to the n -norm. Any complete n -normed space is said to be n -Banach space.

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be a n -normed space. Recall that a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of X is called statistically convergent to $x \in X$ if the set $A(\epsilon) = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \epsilon \right\}$ has natural density zero for each $\epsilon > 0$.

A family $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^Y$ of subsets of a non empty set Y is said to be an ideal in Y if

1. $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$
2. $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ imply $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$
3. $A \in \mathcal{I}, B \subset A$ imply $B \in \mathcal{I}$,

while an admissible ideal \mathcal{I} of Y further satisfies $\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $x \in Y$ see [5]. Given $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a non trivial ideal in \mathbb{N} . A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is said to be \mathcal{I} -convergent to $x \in X$, if for each $\epsilon > 0$ the set $A(\epsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \epsilon\}$ belongs to \mathcal{I} see [8].

Let X be a linear metric space. A function $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called paranorm, if

1. $p(x) \geq 0$, for all $x \in X$,
2. $p(-x) = p(x)$, for all $x \in X$,
3. $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$,
4. if (λ_n) is a sequence of scalars with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (x_n) is a sequence of vectors with $p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $p(\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A paranorm p for which $p(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$ is called total paranorm and the pair (X, p) is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see [19], Theorem 10.4.2, P-183).

An orlicz function M is a function, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [9] used the idea of Orlicz function to define the following sequence space. Let w be the space of all real or complex sequences $x = (x_k)$, then

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

which is called as an Orlicz sequence space. The space ℓ_M is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

It is shown in [9] that every Orlicz sequence space ℓ_M contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p ($p \geq 1$). The Δ_2 -condition is equivalent to $M(Lx) \leq kLM(x)$ for all values of $x \geq 0$, and for $L > 1$.

A sequence $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ of Orlicz function is called a Musielak-Orlicz function see ([10],[14]). A sequence $\mathcal{N} = (N_k)$ defined by

$$N_k(v) = \sup\{|v|u - M_k(u) : u \geq 0\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

is called the complementary function of a Musielak-Orlicz function \mathcal{M} . For a given Musielak-Orlicz function \mathcal{M} , the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space $t_{\mathcal{M}}$ and its subspace

$h_{\mathcal{M}}$ are defined as follows

$$t_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for some } c > 0 \right\},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{M}} = \left\{ x \in w : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for all } c > 0 \right\},$$

where $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a convex modular defined by

$$I_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), x = (x_k) \in t_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

We consider $t_{\mathcal{M}}$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : I_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

or equipped with the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|^0 = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \left(1 + I_{\mathcal{M}}(kx) \right) : k > 0 \right\}.$$

The notion of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [7], who studied the difference sequence spaces $l_{\infty}(\Delta)$, $c(\Delta)$ and $c_0(\Delta)$. The notion was further generalized by Et and Colak [1] by introducing the spaces $l_{\infty}(\Delta^n)$, $c(\Delta^n)$ and $c_0(\Delta^n)$. Let m, n be non-negative integers, then for $Z = c, c_0$ and l_{∞} , we have sequence spaces

$$Z(\Delta_m^n) = \{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta_m^n x_k) \in Z\}$$

for $Z = c, c_0$ and l_{∞} where $\Delta_m^n x = (\Delta_m^n x_k) = (\Delta_m^{n-1} x_k - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+m})$ and $\Delta_m^0 x_k = x_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation

$$\Delta_m^n x_k = \sum_{v=0}^n (-1)^v \binom{n}{v} x_{k+mv}.$$

Taking $m = 1$, we get the spaces $l_{\infty}(\Delta^n)$, $c(\Delta^n)$ and $c_0(\Delta^n)$ studied by Et and Colak [1]. Taking $m = n = 1$, we get the spaces $l_{\infty}(\Delta)$, $c(\Delta)$ and $c_0(\Delta)$ introduced and studied by Kizmaz [7]. For more details about sequence spaces (see [12],[13],[15],[16]) and references therein.

Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to infinity such that $\lambda_{n+1} \geq \lambda_n + 1$, $\lambda_1 = 0$. Let I be an admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} , $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function and $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a n -normed space. Further, suppose $p = (p_k)$ is a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and $u = (u_k)$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. By $S(n - X)$ we denote the space of all sequences

defined over $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. Now we define the following sequence spaces in this paper:

$$\begin{aligned}
 W^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \\
 \left\{ x \in S(n-X) : \forall \epsilon > 0, \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \right. \\
 \left. \left. \geq \epsilon \right\} \in I \text{ for some } \rho > 0, L \in X \text{ and each } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}, \\
 W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \\
 \left\{ x \in S(n-X) : \forall \epsilon > 0, \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \in I \right. \\
 \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and each } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}, \\
 W_\infty(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \\
 \left\{ x \in S(n-X) : \exists K > 0 \text{ such that } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\
 \left. \leq K \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and each } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\} \\
 \text{and} \\
 W_\infty^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) = \\
 \left\{ x \in S(n-X) : \exists K > 0 \text{ such that } \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \right. \\
 \left. \left. \geq K \right\} \in I \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and each } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If $0 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H$, $D = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\} \tag{1}$$

for all k and $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Also $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |a|^H)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce some sequence spaces using ideal convergence for Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ over n -normed spaces. We study some relevant algebraic and topological properties. Further some inclusion relations among these spaces are also examined.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be Musielak-Orlicz function, $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers, $u = (u_k)$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers and I be an admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} . Then $W^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$, $W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$, $W_\infty(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $W_\infty^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ are linear spaces over the real field \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. Let $x = (x_k), y = (y_k) \in W^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist positive integers ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \in I$$

and

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m y_k - L}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \in I.$$

Since $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ is a n -norm and $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k - L)}{|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[\frac{\rho_1 |\alpha|}{(|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2)} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & + D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[\frac{\rho_2 |\beta|}{(|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2)} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m y_k - L}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq DF \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & + DF \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m y_k - L}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where $F = \max \left[1, \left(\frac{\rho_1|\alpha|}{(|\alpha|\rho_1+|\beta|\rho_2)} \right)^H, \left(\frac{\rho_2|\beta|}{(|\alpha|\rho_1+|\beta|\rho_2)} \right)^H \right]$. From the above inequality, we get $\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k) - L}{|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\}$

$$\subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : DF \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\}$$

$$\cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : DF \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m y_k - L}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

Two sets on the right hand side belong to I and this completes the proof.

Similarly, we can prove that $W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$, $W_\infty(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $W_\infty^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ are linear spaces.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be Musielak-Orlicz function and $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers and $u = (u_k)$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $W_\infty(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a paranormed space with

$$g_n(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{pn}{H}} : \rho > 0 \quad : \quad \sup_k \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right.$$

$$\left. \forall z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}.$$

Proof. It is clear that $g_n(x) = g_n(-x)$. Since $M_k(0) = 0$, we get $\inf \{ \rho^{\frac{pn}{H}} \} = 0$ for $x = 0$ therefore, $g_n(0) = 0$. For $x = (x_k)$, $y = (y_k) \in W_\infty(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. Let

$$B(x) = \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_k \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \forall z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$B(y) = \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_k \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m y_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \forall z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}.$$

Suppose $\rho_1 \in B(x)$ and $\rho_2 \in B(y)$. If $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_k \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(x_k + y_k)}{\rho} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_k \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho_1} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \\ & \quad + \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right) \sup_k \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m y_k}{\rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\sup_k \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(x_k + y_k)}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right)^{p_k} \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(x + y) & \leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \rho_1 \in B(x), \rho_2 \in B(y) \right\} \\ & \leq \inf \left\{ \rho_1^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \rho_1 \in B(x) \right\} + \inf \left\{ \rho_2^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \rho_2 \in B(y) \right\} \\ & = g_n(x) + g_n(y). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma^s \rightarrow \sigma$ where $\sigma, \sigma^s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g_n(x^s - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. We show that $g_n(\sigma^s x^s - \sigma x) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. For

$$B(x^s) = \left\{ \rho_s > 0 : \sup_k \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(x_k^s)}{\rho_s} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right.$$

$$\left. \forall z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$B(x^s - x) = \left\{ \rho'_s > 0 : \sup_k \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(x_k^s - x_k)}{\rho'_s} \right\|, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \right.$$

$$\left. \forall z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}.$$

If $\rho_s \in B(x^s)$ and $\rho'_s \in B(x^s - x)$ then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(\sigma^s x_k^s - \sigma x_k)}{\rho_s |\sigma^s - \sigma| + \rho'_s |\sigma|}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(\sigma^s x_k^s - \sigma x_k^s)}{\rho_s |\sigma^s - \sigma| + \rho'_s |\sigma|}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \\
 & \quad + \left\| \frac{(\sigma x_k^s - \sigma x_k)}{\rho_s |\sigma^s - \sigma| + \rho'_s |\sigma|}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{|\sigma^s - \sigma| \rho_s}{\rho_s |\sigma^s - \sigma| + \rho'_s |\sigma|} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k M_k \left(\left\| \frac{(\Delta^m x_k^s)}{\rho_s}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{|\sigma| \rho'_s}{\rho_s |\sigma^s - \sigma| + \rho'_s |\sigma|} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(x_k^s - x_k)}{\rho'_s}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left(M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(\sigma^s x_k^s - \sigma x_k)}{\rho_s |\sigma^s - \sigma| + \rho'_s |\sigma|}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \leq 1$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_n(\sigma^m x^s - \sigma x) & \leq \inf \left\{ \left(\rho_s |\sigma^s - \sigma| + \rho'_s |\sigma| \right)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \rho_s \in B(x^s), \rho'_s \in B(x^s - x) \right\} \\
 & \leq (|\sigma^s - \sigma|)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \rho \in B(x^s) \right\} \\
 & \quad + (|\sigma|)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} \inf \left\{ (\rho'_s)^{\frac{p_n}{H}} : \rho'_s \in B(x^s - x) \right\} \\
 & \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \longrightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$, $\mathcal{M}' = (M'_k)$, $\mathcal{M}'' = (M''_k)$ are Musielak-Orlicz functions. Then we have

(i) $W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}', \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{M}', \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ provided that $H_0 = \inf p_k > 0$.

(ii) $W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}', \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}'', \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \subseteq W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}'', \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$.

Proof. (i) For given $\epsilon > 0$, first choose $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\max\{\epsilon_0^H, \epsilon_0^{H_0}\} < \epsilon$. Now using the continuity of (M_k) . Choose $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $0 < t < \delta$, this implies that $M_k(t) < \epsilon_0$. Let $x = (x_k) \in W_0(\lambda, \mathcal{M}', \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. Now from the definition

$$B(\delta) = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M'_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \delta^H \right\} \in I.$$

Thus, if $n \notin B(\delta)$ then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M'_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \delta^H.$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M'_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \lambda_n \delta^H.$$

$$\Rightarrow u_k \left[M'_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \delta^H \text{ for all } k \in I_n.$$

Thus, $u_k \left[M'_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right] < \delta$ for all $k \in I_n$. Hence,

$$u_k M_k \left(M'_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) < \epsilon_0 \quad \forall k \in I_n$$

which consequently implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(M'_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} &< \lambda_n \max\{\epsilon_0^H, \epsilon_0^{H_0}\} \\ &< \lambda_n \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(M'_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \epsilon.$$

This shows that

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(M'_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \subset B(\delta)$$

and thus belongs to I . This proves the result.

(ii) Let $x = (x_k) \in W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}', \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|) \cap W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}'', \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$.

Then the fact,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\lambda_n} u_k \left[(M'_k + M''_k) \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} u_k \left[M'_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} u_k \left[M''_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \end{aligned}$$

completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 4. *The sequence spaces $W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $W_\infty^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ are solid.*

Proof. Let $x = (x_k) \in W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$, let (α_k) be a sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(\alpha_k x_k)}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{C}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} u_k \left[M_k \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \epsilon \right\} \in I, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \max\{1, |\alpha_k|^H\}$. Hence $(\alpha_k x_k) \in W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ for all sequences of scalars α_k with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ whenever $(x_k) \in W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$.

Similarly, we can prove that $W_\infty^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is a solid space.

Theorem 5. *The sequence spaces $W_0^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $W_\infty^I(\lambda, \mathcal{M}, \Delta^m, u, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ are monotone.*

Proof. It is obvious.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Et and R. Colak, *On some generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math., **21**(1995), 377-386.
- [2] P. Das, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczynski and P. Malik, *I and I* convergence of double sequences*, Math. Slovaca, 58 (2008), 605-620.
- [3] P. Das and P. Malik, *On the statistical and I- variation of double sequences*, Real Anal. Exchange, 33 (2007-2008), 351-364.
- [4] S. Gahler, *2- metrische Raume und ihre topologishe Struktur*, Math. Nachr., 26 (1963), 115-148.
- [5] H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, *On finite dimensional 2-normed spaces*, Soochow J. Math., 27 (2001), 321-329.
- [6] M. Gurdal, and S. Pehlivan, *Statistical convergence in 2-normed spaces*, South-east Asian Bull. Math., 33 (2009), 257-264.

- [7] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Cand. Math. Bull., **24** (1981), 169-176.
- [8] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat and W. Wilczynski, *I-Convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, **26** (2000), 669-686.
- [9] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math; **10**(1971), 345-355.
- [10] L. Maligranda, *Orlicz spaces and interpolation*, Seminars in Mathematics **5**, Polish Academy of Science, 1989.
- [11] A. Misiak, *n-inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr., **140** (1989), 299-319.
- [12] M. Mursaleen, *Matrix transformation between some new sequence spaces*, Houston J. Math., **9**(1983), 505-509.
- [13] M. Mursaleen, *On some new invariant matrix methods of summability*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford **34** (1983), 77 - 86.
- [14] J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1034** (1983).
- [15] K. Raj, S. K. Sharma and A. K. Sharma, *Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of modulus function in n-normed spaces*, Int. J. of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls., **5** (2011), 395-403.
- [16] K. Raj, S. K. Sharma and A. K. Sharma, *Difference sequence spaces in n-normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz functions*, Armen. J. Math., **3** (2010), 127-141.
- [17] W. Raymond, Y. Freese, and J. Cho, *Geometry of linear 2-normed spaces*, N. Y. Nova Science Publishers, Huntington, 2001.
- [18] A. Sahiner, M. Gurdal, S. Saltan and H. Gunawan, *Ideal Convergence in 2-normed spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math., **11** (2007), 1477-1484.
- [19] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North- Holland Math. stud. **85**(1984).

Kuldip Raj and Sunil K. Sharma
School of Mathematics
Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University
Katra-182320, J&K, India
email: kuldipraj68@gmail.com, sunilksharma42@yahoo.co.in