

**ON A CERTAIN CLASS OF CONCAVE MEROMORPHIC
HARMONIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY INVERSE OF INTEGRAL
OPERATOR**

YUSUF ABDULAH AND MASLINA DARUS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce new class of meromorphic harmonic concave functions defined by an integral operator and establish some of the properties of this class.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

Keywords: Harmonic functions, Concave Univalent function, Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator, Salagean Operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A denotes the class of analytic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1)$$

that map the unit disc conformally onto a domain whose complement with respect to a convex that satisfies the normalization $f(1) = \infty$, the opening angle of $f(U)$ at infinity is less than or equal to $\alpha\pi$.

The families of these functions is referred to as a concave univalent function denoted as $C_o(\alpha)$ if it satisfies the condition $P_f > 0$, where

$$P_f = \frac{2}{\alpha - 1} \left[\frac{\alpha + 1}{2} \frac{1+z}{1-z} - 1 - z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right]$$

In [11], the concept of meromorphic concave function was introduced, that a conformal mapping of meromorphic functions on the unit disc is referred to as a

concave function if its image is the complement of a compact convex function. We define the class of meromorphic functions to be of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k. \quad (2)$$

A function of the form (2) is referred to as a concave meromorphic if it satisfied the condition

$$1 + \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] < 0. \quad (3)$$

The concept of harmonic univalent function was first introduced by Clunie and Sheil-Small in [5]. The class of function is applied in the study of minimal surfaces and other areas of sciences. We say that a continuous function $f = u + iv$ is complex harmonic function in a domain $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$, if both u and v are real harmonic in \mathbb{U} . Let $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are analytic in \mathbb{U} . A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and preserving in \mathbb{U} is that $|h'(z)| > |g'(z)|$ in \mathbb{U} .

In [6], it was shown that a complex valued, harmonic, sense preserving, univalent mapping f must admit the representation

$$f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} + A \log|z| \quad (4)$$

where $h(z)$ and $g(z)$ are defined by

$$h(z) = \alpha z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{-k}, g(z) = \beta \bar{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^{-k} \quad (5)$$

for $0 \leq |\beta| < |\alpha|$. In [7], For $z \in \mathbb{U}$. S_H was define to be the class of functions

$$f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k + \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k}, \quad (6)$$

which are harmonic in the unit disc \mathbb{U} , $h(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in \mathbb{U} , respectively.

A function $f \in S_H$ is said to be in the subclass S_H^* of meromorphic harmonic starlike functions in \mathbb{U} , if its satisfied the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[-\frac{z h'(z) - \overline{z g'(z)}}{h(z) + g(z)} \right] > 0, (z \in \mathbb{U} \setminus 0).$$

Also A function $f \in S_H$ is said to be in the subclass SC_H of meromorphic harmonic convex functions in $(U \setminus 0)$, if its satisfied the condition

$$Re \left[-\frac{zh''(z) + h'(z)\overline{zg''(z) + g'(z)}}{h'(z) - g'(z)} \right] > 0, (z \in \mathbb{U} \setminus 0).$$

These two classes ware studied in [8, 9, 10].

In [12], the integral operator was introduced as the following:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(\gamma + 1)^2 t^{\sigma-1}}{z^\gamma \Gamma(\sigma)} \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{\sigma-1} f(t) dt,$$

and expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma + k}\right) a_k z^k \tag{7}$$

In [13], the inverse of the integral operator was considered as

$$\mathcal{J}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(\gamma + 1)^2 t^{\sigma-1}}{z^\gamma \Gamma(\sigma)} \left(\log \frac{z}{t}\right)^{-(\sigma-1)} f(t) dt \tag{8}$$

an expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1}\right)^\sigma a_k z^k \tag{9}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}(\mathcal{J}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)) = f(z). \tag{10}$$

If $\gamma = 0, n = \sigma$ we have $D^n f(z)$, known as the Salagean operator.

In this work , we studied a new class of meromorphic concave functions defined by inverse of an integral operator denoted $SH_\gamma^\sigma C_0$ and define the class as follows:

Definition 1 Let $SH_\gamma^\sigma C_0$ denote the class of meromorphic harmonic concave function define by inverse of Integral Operator on the function of the form (2)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1}\right)^\sigma a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1}\right)^\sigma \overline{b_k z^k}, (\sigma > 0, \gamma > 1) \tag{11}$$

such that

$$Re \left[1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z))'}{\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)} \right] < 0.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

2.1. Coefficient Inequalities for the class $SH_\gamma^\sigma C_0$

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f = h + g$ be of the form (11) , if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma (|a_n| + |b_n|) \leq 1 \tag{12}$$

then f is harmonic univalent, sense preserving in U

Proof. For $0 < |z_1| \leq |z_2| < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z_1) - \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z_2)| &= \left| \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma a_k z_1^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma \overline{b_k z_1^k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{z} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma a_k z_2^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma \overline{b_k z_2^k} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|z_1|} - \frac{1}{|z_2|} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma |a_k| |z_1^k - z_2^k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma |b_k| |z_1^k - z_2^k| \\ &> \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{|z_1 z_2|} - |z_1 - z_2| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma (|a_k| + |b_k|) \\ &> \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{|z_1 z_2|} \left[1 - |z_2|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma (|a_k| + |b_k|) \right] \\ &> \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{|z_1 z_2|} \left[1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma (|a_k| + |b_k|) \right] \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is non negative by $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma (|a_k| + |b_k|) < 1$, $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)$ is univalent in U .

Now we want to show that f is sense preserving in U , we need to show that $|h'(z)| \geq |g'(z)|$ in U ,

$$\begin{aligned} |h'(z)| &\geq \frac{1}{|z|^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma |a_k| |z|^{k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^\sigma |a_k| r^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &> 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} |a_k| \\
 &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} |a_k| \\
 &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} |b_k| \\
 &> \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} |b_k| r^{k-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} |b_k| |z|^{k-1} \geq |g'(z)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus this completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f = h + g$ be of the form (11), then $f \in SH_{\gamma}^{\sigma}C_0$ if the condition holds

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} (|a_n| + |b_n|) \leq 1 \tag{13}$$

Proof. with the condition that $Re w < 0 \leftrightarrow \left| \frac{w+1}{w-1} \right| < 1$, it suffices to show that $\left| \frac{w+1}{w-1} \right| < 1$.

Let

$$w = Re \left[1 + \frac{z(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z))'}{\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)} \right]$$

such that $w = \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}$, where $g(z) = z(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z))'$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{w+1}{w-1} \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2+k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)a_k z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2+k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)b_k z^k}{\frac{2}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2+k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)a_k z^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2+k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)b_k z^k} \right| \\
 &< \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2+k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)|a_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2+k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)|b_k|}{2 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2-k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)|a_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2-k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)|b_k|}. \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by 1 if

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2+k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2+k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)b_k \\
 &\leq 2 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2-k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)a_k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2-k)(\gamma+k/\gamma+1)b_k
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to our condition by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\sigma} (|a_n| + |b_n|) \leq 1.$$

Conversely, assume $f \in SH_{\gamma}^{\sigma}C_0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1 + \frac{z\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}h(z)'' - z\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}g(z)''}{\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}h(z)' - \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}g(z)'} + 1}{1 + \frac{z\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}h(z)'' - z\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}g(z)''}{\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}h(z)' - \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}g(z)'} - 1} \right| < 1 \\ & = \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2 + k)(\gamma + k/\gamma + 1)a_k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2 + k)(\gamma + k/\gamma + 1)\overline{b_k}}{\frac{2}{z^2} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2 - k)(\gamma + k/\gamma + 1)a_k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k^2 - k)(\gamma + k/\gamma + 1)\overline{b_k}} \right| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

By letting $|z| \rightarrow 1$, we obtain (12).

Theorem 3. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ of the form (11), then a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)$ to be in $SH_{\gamma}^{\sigma}C_0$ is that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) \leq 1.$$

Proof. From Theorem 2, we assume that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) > 1.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z) \in \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}S_H C_0$, then $1 + \operatorname{Re}z(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z))''/(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z))'$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{z \left(\frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} a_k z^{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} \overline{b_k z^{k-1}} \right)}{\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} \overline{b_k z^k}} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} \overline{b_k z^k} \right)}{\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} \overline{b_k z^k}} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $|z| = r > 1$, the above expression reduce to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) r^k}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) r^k} \right) = \left(\frac{A(r)}{B(r)} \right) \leq 0$$

from our assumption that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) > 1$, then $A(r)$ and $B(r)$ are positive for r sufficiently close to 1. Thus there exists a $z_0 = r_0 > 1$ for which the quotient is positive. This contradicts the required condition that $\frac{A(r)}{B(r)} \leq 0$, so the proof is complete.

2.2. Distortion and Extreme point

Theorem 4. *If $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma} f_k = h_k + \overline{g_k}$ be of the form (11) and $0 < |z| = r < 1$, then*

$$|\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma} f_k(z)| \leq \frac{1+r^2}{r}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma} f_k(z)| \leq \frac{1-r^2}{r}.$$

Proof. Taking the absolute of f_k , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_k| &= \left| \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} \overline{b_k z^k} \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) r^k \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) r \end{aligned}$$

by applying $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) \leq 1$

$$|f_k| \geq \frac{1}{r} - r = \frac{1-r^2}{r}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} |f_k| &= \left| \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} \overline{b_k z^k} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) r^k \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) r \end{aligned}$$

by applying $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} (|a_k| + |b_k|) \leq 1$

$$|f_k| \leq \frac{1}{r} + r = \frac{1+r^2}{r}.$$

Theorem 5. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f = h + \bar{g}$ be of the form (11). Set $h_{n,0} = g_{n,0} = \frac{1}{z}$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ set

$$h_{n,k}(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{k^2}z^k, g_{n,k}(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{k^2}\bar{z}^k$$

then $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)$ to be in $SH_{\gamma}^{\sigma}C_0$ if and only if f_k can be expressed as

$$f_{n,k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Psi_k h_{n,k}(z) + \Phi_k g_{n,k}(z))$$

where $\Psi_k \geq 0, \Phi_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Psi_k + \Phi_k) = 1$.

Proof. For function $f = h + g$ to be of the form (11), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,k}(z) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\Psi_k h_{n,k}(z) + \Phi_k g_{n,k}(z)) \\ &= \Psi_0 h_{n,0} + \Phi_0 g_{n,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\Psi_k h_{n,k}(z) + \Phi_k g_{n,k}(z)) \\ &= \Psi_0 h_{n,0} + \Phi_0 g_{n,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi_k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{k^2}z^k\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Phi_k \left(\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{k^2}\bar{z}^k\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Psi_k + \Phi_k) \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} (\Psi_k z^k + \Phi_k \bar{z}^k). \end{aligned}$$

Now by Theorem 1,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\Psi_k \frac{1}{k^2} k^2 + \Phi_k \frac{1}{k^2} k^2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi_k + \Phi_k = 1 - \Psi_0 - \Phi_0 \leq 1$$

we have $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)$ to be in $SH_{\gamma}^{\sigma}C_0$. The converse is similar to the above proof

2.3. Convolution Properties

For harmonic functions

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} a_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} \overline{b_k z^k} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}F_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} A_k z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} \overline{B_k z^k}. \quad (16)$$

The convolution of $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f_n(z)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}F_n(z)$ is given by $(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f_n * \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}F_n)(z) = \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f_n(z) * \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}F_n(z)$

$$= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} |a_k||A_k|z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} |b_k||B_k|\overline{z^k}. \quad (17)$$

The geometric convolution of f_k and F_k is given by

$$(f(z)*F_k)(z) = f_k(z)\bullet F_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} \sqrt{|a_k A_k|} z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} \sqrt{|b_k B_k|} \overline{z^k}. \quad (18)$$

The integral convolution of f_k and F_k is given by

$$(f_k \circ F_k)(z) = f_k(z) \circ F_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} \frac{|a_k A_k|}{k} z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} \frac{|b_k B_k|}{k} \overline{z^k}. \quad (19)$$

Theorem 6. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f_k(z) \in SH_{\gamma}^{\sigma}C_0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}F_k(z) \in SH_{\gamma}^{\sigma}C_0$. Then the convolution $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f_k(z) * \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}F_k(z) \in SH_{\gamma}^{\sigma}C_0$.

Proof. From (17), (18), then the convolution given by (19). We need to show that the coefficients of $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f_k(z) * \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}F_k(z)$ satisfy the condition of theorem (2.1). We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} (|a_k||A_k|) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} (|b_k||B_k|) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma+k}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} |b_k| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f_k(z) * \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}F_k(z) \in \mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}SHC_0$, where $|A_k| \leq 1$, $|B_k| \leq 1$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 7. Given f_k and F_k of the form (17) and (18) belong to the class $SH_\gamma^\sigma C_0$, then the geometric condition $(f(z) \bullet F_k)(z) \in SH_\gamma^\sigma C_0$.

Proof. From (19), and by Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^\sigma (\sqrt{|A_k a_k|} + \sqrt{|B_k b_k|}) \leq 1.$$

Theorem 8. Given f_k and F_k of form (17) and (18) belong to the class $SH_\gamma^\sigma C_0$, then the integral convolution $(f(z) \circ F_k)(z) \in SH_\gamma^\sigma C_0$.

Proof. Let $|A_k| \leq 1$ and $|B_k| \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^\sigma \left(\frac{|A_k a_k|}{k} + \frac{|B_k b_k|}{k} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^\sigma \left(\frac{|a_k|}{k} + \frac{|b_k|}{k} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^\sigma \left(\frac{|a_k|}{k} + \frac{|b_k|}{k} \right) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

2.4. Convex Combinations

Theorem 9. The class $SH_\gamma^\sigma C_0$ is closed under convex combination.

Proof. Let $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma, \gamma} f_i(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^\sigma a_{ik} z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^\sigma \overline{ib_k z^k}$$

where $a_{ik} > 0$, $b_{ik} > 0$, by theorem (2)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^\sigma (|a_{ik}| + |b_{ik}|) \leq 1.$$

For $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the convex combinations of $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma, \gamma} f(z)$ is written as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_i \mathcal{L}_{\sigma, \gamma} f_i(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^\sigma (t_i a_{ik}) z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^\sigma \overline{t_i b_{ik} z^k}.$$

Then by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\sigma} (|a_{ik}| + |b_{ik}|) \leq 1 \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\sigma} \left[\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_i a_{ik} \right| + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_i b_{ik} \right| \right] \\ & = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_i \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma + k}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\sigma} (|a_{ik}| + |b_{ik}|) \right] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1 \end{aligned}$$

. Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_i \mathcal{L}_{\sigma, \gamma} f_i(z) \in SH_{\gamma}^{\sigma} C_0$.

Acknowledgement: The second author is partially supported by UKM grant: GUP-2017-064.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. AlDawish and M. Darus, *On p-valent Concave Functions*, Kochi Journal of Mathematics, 10(2015), 43-51.
- [2] I. G. Avkhadiiev and K. J Wirth, *Concave schilct function with bounded opening angle at Infinity*, Lobachevskii J. Math, 17(2005), 3-10.
- [3] F.G. Avkhadiiev and K.J. Wirths *Concave schlicht functions with bounded opening angle at infinity*, Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics 17:3–10.
- [4] M. Chuaqui, P. Duren and B. Osgood, . *Concave conformal mappings and pre-vertices of Schwarz-Christoffel mappings*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 140(2012) 3495-3505.
- [5] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small *Harmonic univalent functions*. Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae. Series A.I.Mathematica 9(1984.) 3-25.
- [6] W. Hengartner and G. Schober . *Univalent harmonic functions*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 299(1987) 1–31.
- [7] K. AL-Shaqsi and M. Darus *On harmonic univalent functions with respect to k-symmetric points*. International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences 3 (2008),111-118.
- [8] J.M. Jahangiri and H. Silverman *Meromorphic univalent harmonic functions with negative coefficients*. Bulletin-Korean Mathematical Society 36(4), 1999,763-770.
- [9] J. M. Jahangiri, *Harmonic meromorphic starlike functions*. Bulletin-Korean Mathematical Society, 37(2),2000, 291-302.

- [10] J. M. Jahangiri, *Coefficient bounds and univalence criteria for harmonic functions with negative coefficients*, *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska* 52(2), 1998, 57-66.
- [11] I. Aldawish and M. Darus, . *On Certain Class of Meromorphic Harmonic Concave Functions*, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics* 46(2), 2015 101-109.
- [12] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, and H. M. Srivastava, *The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 176, (1993),138-147.
- [13] K. O. Babalola,, *Subclasses of Analytic Function Defined the Inverse integral operators*, *Analele Universitati Oradea.* 1(2012),255-264.

Yusuf Abdulahi
Centre of Modelling and Data Science
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
Bangi 43600 Selangor.
email: yusuf.abdulai4success@gmail.com.

Maslina Darus
Centre of Modelling and Data Science
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia.
Bangi 43600 Selangor .
email: maslina@ukm.edu.my.