# Dirac operators over the flat 3-torus

## J. Fabian Meier

Abstract. We determine spectrum and eigenspaces of some families of  $\text{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  Dirac operators over the flat 3-torus. Our method relies on projections onto appropriate 2-tori on which we use complex geometry.

Furthermore we investigate those families by means of spectral sections (in the sense of Melrose/Piazza). Our aim is to give a hands-on approach to this concept. First we calculate the relevant indices with the help of spectral flows. Then we define the concept of a system of infinitesimal spectral sections which allows us to classify spectral sections for small parameters R up to equivalence in K-theory. We undertake these classifications for the families of operators mentioned above.

Our aim is therefore twofold: On the one hand we want to understand the behavior of  $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  Dirac operators over a 3-torus, especially for situations which are induced from a 4-manifold with boundary  $T^3$ . This has prospective applications in generalized Seiberg-Witten theory. On the other hand we want to make the term "spectral section", for which one normally only knows existence results, more concrete by giving a detailed description in a special situation.

M.S.C. 2010: 47A10, 58C40, 58J30. Key words: Spinc Dirac operator; 3-torus; spectral section.

## 1 Introduction

In the study of smooth 4-manifolds, especially in the context of (generalized) Seiberg-Witten theory, it would be nice to understand  $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  Dirac operators which are induced on the boundary of a compact 4-manifold.

Manifolds with boundary  $T^3$  where already studied in this context by [5]. But for generalized Seiberg-Witten theories, also families of operators in non-trivial Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structures become important. Therefore, we undertake a detailed study for some of these families. We now describe the object of investigation:

For every  $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure on  $T^3 = \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$  we analyse the family of Dirac operators given by connections  $\nabla^K + \mathbf{i}\alpha$ ; here  $\nabla^K$  is a fixed background connection (to be

Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol.17, No.1, 2012, pp. 78-87.

<sup>©</sup> Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press 2012.

constructed below) for an appropriate line bundle K and  $\alpha$  comes from the parameter space of closed one-forms.

Our first aim is to determine the spectrum and an orthogonal eigenbasis for these operators. Our strategy is as follows:

- 1. We write the 3-torus as  $S^1$  bundle over a 2-torus (determined by the  $\text{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure).
- 2. We equip the 2-torus with a complex structure and choose appropriate holomorphic line bundles.
- 3. We use complex geometry and methods from [1].
- 4. We combine the calculated terms with exponential functions to get the desired result.

The calculations above will help us to access our second aim: The construction of spectral sections.

For a lattice  $\ell \subset H^1(T^3; \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^1(T^3; \mathbb{R})$  look at the family of operators parametrized by  $B = (\ell \otimes \mathbb{R})/\ell$ . Since we know the concrete spectrum we can calculate all spectral flows in this torus which gives us direct access to the index in  $K^1(B)$ . By [4, section 2] the vanishing of this index corresponds to the existence of spectral sections.

For small parameters R we give a classification of all spectral sections up to equivalence in K-theory.

**Remark 1.1.** If  $\iota: T^3 \hookrightarrow M$  is the boundary of a  $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  4-manifold M and  $\ell$  is chosen to be a subset of  $\iota^*(H^1(M;\mathbb{Z}))$ , then one can show that our family of operators is a boundary family in the sense of [4]; this guarantees the existence of spectral sections in this case but does not lead to concrete constructions of them.

## 2 Definitions

We take  $T^3 := \mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$  to be the flat 3-torus. We identify the first and second cohomology groups with each other by the Hodge star operation. Both of them will be identified with  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$  through the standard (positively oriented) basis  $dx_1, dx_2, dx_3$  of  $\mathbb{TR}^3$ .

The trivial Spin structure induces a  $\text{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure with associated bundle  $\underline{\mathbb{H}} = T^3 \times \mathbb{H}$ . Here  $\mathbb{H} = \text{span}\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  denotes the space of quaternions. It is considered as a complex vector space by left multiplication with  $\mathbf{i} = e_1$  and as a left-quaternionic vector space by inverse right multiplication.

Now the Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structures can be canonically identified with elements  $\hat{k} \in H^2(T^3; \mathbb{Z})$ (for a general explanation of Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structures and their associated bundles see e.g. [6]). For every such element we choose a Hermitian line bundle K with  $c_1(K) = \hat{k}$ and a unitary background connection  $\nabla^K$ ; possible choices and constructions will be detailed in the subsequent sections. Then the Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structure  $\hat{k}$  has the associated bundle  $\mathbb{H} \otimes K$ .

For each K and closed one-form  $\alpha$  we get a  $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  Dirac operator

 $\mathcal{D}^{K}_{\alpha}: \Gamma(\underline{\mathbb{H}} \otimes K) \to (\underline{\mathbb{H}} \otimes K)$ 

for the connection  $\nabla^{K} + i\alpha$ .

These operators will be analysed in the subsequent sections.

## 3 Spectrum and Eigenbasis

We distinguish two main cases.

## 3.1 Nontrivial Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structure

We write  $\hat{k} = h \cdot k$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  and maximal  $h \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let W be the plane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  orthogonal to k and  $\pi_k$  the orthogonal projection. By taking quotients we get a map  $\pi_{\overline{k}} : T^3 \to T_\Lambda := W/\Lambda$  with  $\Lambda = \pi_k(\mathbb{Z}^3)$ .

Let  $w_1, w_2$  be the basis of a fundamental parallelogram in  $\Lambda$ . We take  $c^i \in [0, 1)$ , i = 1, 2, with  $w_i - c^i \cdot k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** The map  $\pi_{\overline{k}} : T^3 \to T_{\Lambda}$  determines a trivial  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -bundle with trivialization:

(3.1) 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^3 & \stackrel{\pi_{\overline{k}} \times \operatorname{tri}}{\longrightarrow} & T_{\Lambda} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \\ \left[\chi_1 w_1 + \chi_2 w_2 + \chi k\right] & \mapsto & \left( \left[\chi_1 w_1 + \chi_2 w_2\right], \left[c^1 \chi_1 + c^2 \chi_2 + \chi\right] \right). \end{array}$$

Proof. Direct calculation.

We give  $T_{\Lambda}$  the induced metric and orientation and choose a Hermitian line bundle L over it with  $c_1(L) = h$  (in the standard identification of  $H^2(T_{\Lambda}; \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). Furthermore, we equip the bundle with an arbitrary unitary connection  $\nabla^L$ .

**Definition 3.1.** We define  $K := \pi_{\overline{k}}^{-1}(L)$  and  $\nabla^K := \pi_{\overline{k}}^{-1}(\nabla^L)$ . Then we have  $c_1(K) = \hat{k}$ .

### **3.1.1** Working on $T_{\Lambda}$

We now look at the corresponding problem on  $T_{\Lambda}$ . For each (positive) Chern class h, we have an associated bundle  $\underline{\mathbb{H}} \otimes L$  over  $T_{\Lambda}$ . Then each closed one-form  $\alpha_{\Lambda}$  over  $T_{\Lambda}$  defines a Dirac operator

$$\mathcal{D}^{L}_{\alpha_{\Lambda}}: \Gamma(\underline{\mathbb{H}} \otimes L) \to (\underline{\mathbb{H}} \otimes L).$$

We give W an arbitrary complex structure and scale everything so that we work on  $\mathbb{C}/_{\{1,\tau\}}$  with im  $\tau > 0$ . Now we can equip L with a *holomorphic* structure; we choose it so that  $\nabla^L + i\alpha_{\Lambda}$  becomes the Chern connection of the holomorphic bundle.

This specifies a problem for twisted Dirac operators on a Riemann surface. We use the results of [1, section 5.2], where the eigenspaces of  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_{\Lambda}}^{L}$  are described in terms of holomorphic sections.

The eigenspaces can be made explicit using theta functions. A detailed discussion of all calculations and identifications can be found in [3, section 2.c]. The result is the following:

80

**Lemma 3.2.** We can explicitly construct a basis of orthogonal eigensections  $\sigma_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_{\Lambda}}^L$  with respective eigenvalues

$$\mu_m := \operatorname{sgn} m \sqrt{2\pi h \|k\|} \left\lfloor \frac{|m|}{h} \right\rfloor.$$

The eigenvalues are independent of  $\alpha_{\Lambda}$ .

### **3.1.2** An eigenbasis for $(\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{K})^{2}$

**Remark 3.2.** By a standard gauging argument, we can reduce the problem of finding spectrum and eigenspaces from closed one-forms to harmonic one-forms. So from now on we assume  $\alpha \in H^1(T^3; \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ .

We now look at the map  $s_l \circ \text{tri}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $s_l : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to S^1$  is defined to be  $t \mapsto \exp(2\pi lt)$  and tri is the map from (3.1). Its exterior derivative is given by:

$$d(s_l \circ \operatorname{tri}) = 2\pi \mathrm{i} l(s_l \circ \operatorname{tri}) (c^1, c^2, 1).$$

We now want to separate this form into its parallel and orthogonal part with respect to W:

$$d(s_l \circ \operatorname{tri}) = 2\pi \mathbf{i}(s_l \circ \operatorname{tri}) \cdot (\omega_{\parallel}^l + \omega_{\perp}^l),$$

In the same way we split  $\alpha = \alpha_{II} + \alpha_{\perp}$ .

We set  $\alpha_{\Lambda} := \alpha_{\parallel} + 2\pi \omega_{\parallel}^l$  and use Lemma 3.2 to determine a basis of sections for  $\Gamma(\underline{\mathbb{H}} \otimes L)$  which we call  $\sigma_m^l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The parameter  $\omega_{\shortparallel}^l$  becomes necessary for our construction since the bundle  $T^3 \to T_{\Lambda}$  is trivial but its metric differs from the orthogonal product  $T_{\Lambda} \times S^1$ .

We further denote

$$\hat{\sigma}_{l,m}(v) := (s_l \circ \operatorname{tri})(v) \cdot \pi_{\overline{k}}^*(\sigma_m^l)(v).$$

This can be interpreted as a combination of a basis of the Dirac operator over  $S^1$  with bases over  $T_{\Lambda}$ . Let

$$\lambda_l := \left(2\pi l + \langle k, \alpha \rangle\right) / \|k\|,$$

where  $\langle , \rangle$  means the standard scalar product of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (or, interpreted differently, the evaluation of  $k \cup \alpha$  at the orientation class).

**Theorem 3.3 (Eigenbasis for**  $(\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{K})^{2}$ ). The set  $\{\hat{\sigma}_{l,m} \mid l, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  forms an orthogonal basis of eigensections for  $(\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{K})^{2}$  with the respective eigenvalues  $\lambda_{l}^{2} + \mu_{m}^{2}$ .

*Proof.* Applying  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{K}$  twice and using the definition of  $\omega^{l}$ , we see that these sections are indeed eigensections for the given eigenvalues. With a standard calculation (see [3, p.45]), we conclude that the set span  $\{\hat{\sigma}_{l,m} \mid l, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is dense in the space of  $L^2$ -sections. The orthogonality can be deduced from the orthogonality of the  $\sigma_{m}^{l}$  by using the fact that a change of  $\alpha_{\perp}$  changes the spectrum but fixes  $\sigma_{m}^{l}$ .

#### 3.1.3An eigenbasis for $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{K}$

Theorem 3.3 gives a quadratic equation for  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{K}$ . Furthermore, we know that the Dirac operator on  $T_{\Lambda}$  is graded, so the bases  $\sigma_{m}^{l}$  split into  $\sigma_{m}^{l+} + \sigma_{m}^{l-}$ . Together this leads us to the following denominations

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{l,m}^{\pm} &:= (s_l \circ \operatorname{tri}) \cdot \left( \left( \lambda_l + \mu_m \pm \sqrt{\lambda_l^2 + \mu_m^2} \right) \pi_{\overline{k}}^* (\sigma_m^{l+}) \right. \\ &+ \left( -\lambda_l + \mu_m \pm \sqrt{\lambda_l^2 + \mu_m^2} \right) \pi_{\overline{k}}^* (\sigma_m^{l-}) \right) \\ \sigma_{l,m}^0 &:= \hat{\sigma}_{l,m} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nu_{l,m}^{\pm} := \pm \sqrt{\lambda_l^2 + \mu_m^2}, \qquad \nu_{l,m}^0 := \begin{cases} \lambda_l & \text{for } 0 \le m \le h-1 \\ \mu_m & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From this set of vectors we have to choose a subset of nonzero vectors whose span is dense.

**Theorem 3.4.** We get an orthogonal eigenbasis of  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{K}$  by

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{l,m}^{\pm} \middle| (l,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{with } \lambda_l \neq 0 \text{ and } m \ge h \end{cases}$$
$$\cup \left\{ \sigma_{l,m}^0 \middle| (l,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \quad \text{with } \lambda_l = 0 \text{ or } 0 \le m \le h - 1 \end{cases},$$

which will be written as  $M^{\pm}_{\alpha} \cup M^0_{\alpha}$ . The respective eigenvalues are  $\nu^{+/0/-}_{l,m}$ .

 ${\it Proof.}\,$  We check that all these vectors are nonzero and belong to the defined eigenspaces. From the construction in [1] we know that  $\sigma_m^l = \sigma_m^{l+} + \sigma_m^{l-}$  implies  $\sigma_{h-m-1}^l = \sigma_m^{l+} - \sigma_m^{l-}$ . Therefore, we have the  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{K}$ -invariant subspaces

$$\sigma_m^{i-}$$
. Therefore, we have the  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{\kappa}$ -invariant subspaces

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{\hat{\sigma}_{l,m}, \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{K} \,\hat{\sigma}_{l,m}\right\} = \operatorname{span}\left\{\hat{\sigma}_{l,m}, \,\hat{\sigma}_{l,h-m-1}\right\}.$$

They can be used to prove the orthogonality and density of the constructed sections. 

#### 3.2Trivial $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$ structure

We look at  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  on  $\Gamma(\underline{\mathbb{H}}) = \Gamma(\underline{\mathbb{C}}^2)$  for the standard connection  $\nabla^K$ . Let

 $\sigma_b(x_1, x_2, x_3) := \exp\left(2\pi i(b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3)\right).$ 

Then we get the basis of sections:

span 
$$\left\{\sigma_b^+ = (\sigma_b, 0) \mid b \in \mathbb{Z}^3\right\} \cup \left\{\sigma_b^- = (0, \sigma_b) \mid b \in \mathbb{Z}^3\right\}.$$

Define  $\beta = \alpha + 2\pi b$ . We use the classical methods of [2] to determine:

**Theorem 3.5.** We get an orthogonal eigenbasis for  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$  as

$$\left\{ \|\beta\|\sigma_b^+ - \mathcal{D}_\alpha \sigma_b^+ \ \middle| \ b \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \ with \ \beta_2 \neq 0 \ or \ \beta_3 \neq 0 \right\}$$
$$\cup \left\{ \|\beta\|\sigma_b^+ + \mathcal{D}_\alpha \sigma_b^+ \ \middle| \ b \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \ with \ \beta_2 \neq 0 \ or \ \beta_3 \neq 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ \sigma_b^{\pm} \ \middle| \ \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0 \right\}.$$

Dirac operators over the flat 3-torus

 $\mathbf{S}$ 

Furthermore, we have for  $\beta_2 \neq 0$  or  $\beta_3 \neq 0$ :

$$\operatorname{pan}\left\{\sigma_{b}^{+}, \sigma_{b}^{-}\right\} = \operatorname{span}\left\{\|\beta\|\sigma_{b}^{+} - \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\sigma_{b}^{+}, \|\beta\|\sigma_{b}^{+} + \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}\sigma_{b}^{+}\right\}.$$

The spectrum consists of all numbers  $\pm \|\beta(b, \alpha)\|$  for  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ .

**Remark 3.3.** In the case  $\hat{k} \neq 0$  the spectrum is determined by  $\alpha_{\perp}$  while the eigenbasis is determined by  $\alpha_{\parallel}$ . Here every change of  $\alpha$  has influence on both eigenbasis and spectrum.

## 4 Spectral sections

We look at families of Dirac operators over a compact base space B. [4] defined the concept of a *spectral section* for a constant R > 0. The most interesting spectral sections are those for small R; they should be classified in the sense of the following definition.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $R_{inf}$  be defined as the infimum of the set

 $\{R > 0 \mid \text{for } R \text{ exists at least one spectral section} \}.$ 

Furthermore, choose a (small) positive number  $\varepsilon_P$ . Then a system of infinitesimal spectral sections is a map

$$]R_{\inf}, R_{\inf} + \varepsilon_P ] \times I \quad \to \quad \{ \text{spectral sections for a fixed operator } D \}$$
$$(R, i) \quad \mapsto \quad P_B^i,$$

where

- 1. *I* is an arbitrary index set,
- 2.  $P_R^i$  is a spectral section for the constant map R,
- 3. every  $(P_R^i)_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in B$ , depends continuously on R (where we consider  $(P_R^i)_{\alpha}$  as operator between L<sup>2</sup> spaces), and
- 4.  $\bigcup_{i \in I} \{P_R^i\}$  is a representation system for all spectral sections for R, i.e. for all possible spectral sections  $P_R$  there is a  $P_R^i$  with  $i \in I$ , so that  $\operatorname{Im} P_R \operatorname{Im} P_R^i$  is zero in K-theory.

A minimal system of infinitesimal spectral sections is one in which I is chosen minimal (under the inclusion relation).

### 4.1 Definition of the family

Let  $\ell \subset H^1(T^3; \mathbb{Z})$  be a lattice (of non-maximal dimension) and let  $B := (\ell \otimes \mathbb{R})/\ell$ . We need the following ingredients for our definition:

- $\ker(d)_{l\otimes\mathbb{R}}$ : The subset of  $\ker(d)$  representing elements in  $\ell\otimes\mathbb{R}$ .
- $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ : The subgroup of the gauge group Map $(T^3, S^1)$  determined by  $\ell$ .

• The projection  $\operatorname{pr}_{T^3}: T^3 \times (\nabla^K + i \operatorname{ker}(d)_{l \otimes \mathbb{R}}) \to T^3$  together with the induced vector bundle  $\operatorname{pr}_{T^3}^*(\underline{\mathbb{H}} \otimes K)$ .

If v is an element of the fibre of  $\operatorname{pr}_{T^3}^*(\underline{\mathbb{H}}\otimes K)$  over

$$(y, \nabla^K + i\alpha^c) \in T^3 \times (\nabla^K + i \ker(d)_{\ell \otimes \mathbb{R}}),$$

we can define the following action of  $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ :

(4.1) 
$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\ell} \times \mathrm{pr}_{T^{3}}^{*} \left( \underline{\mathbb{H}} \otimes K \right) &\to \mathrm{pr}_{T^{3}}^{*} \left( \underline{\mathbb{H}} \otimes K \right) \\ \left( u, \left( v, y, \nabla^{K} + \mathrm{i}\alpha \right) \right) &\mapsto \left( u(y) \cdot v, y, \nabla^{K} + \mathrm{i}\alpha + u d u^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The quotient is a bundle over  $T^3 \times B$ . The connection from the parameter space determines a family of Dirac operators called  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Depending on  $\hat{k}$  and  $\ell$  we want to know:

- 1. Do spectral sections exist?
- 2. If they exist: What do they look like?

### 4.2 Existence of spectral sections

Following [4] we know that spectral sections for  $\mathcal{D}$  exist if and only if the index of  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $K^1(B)$  vanishes. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the following composition of isomorphisms (remember that B is a torus of maximal dimension 2):

$$K^1(B) \xrightarrow{\text{Chern}} H^1(B;\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow (H_1(B;\mathbb{Z}))^* \longrightarrow \ell^*.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $a \in H^1(T^3; \mathbb{Z})$  and let  $f : (\mathbb{R} \cdot a)/a \to B$  be the map induced by the inclusion. In this way we get a pullback family  $\mathcal{D}^a$  over  $(\mathbb{R} \cdot a)/a$ . Then the spectral flow of  $\mathcal{D}^a$  in positive direction is given by  $\langle \hat{k}, a \rangle = \langle \hat{k} \cup a, [T^3] \rangle$ .

Proof. We use our explicit knowledge of the spectrum. First we assume  $\hat{k} \neq 0$ : From all eigenvalues  $\nu_{l,m}^{+/0/-}$  only those of the form  $\nu_{l,m}^0$  for  $0 \leq m \leq h-1$  have a chance to cross zero. From the definition we know that  $\nu_{l,m}^0 = \lambda_l = (2\pi l + \langle k, \alpha \rangle)/|k||$  for which we can count the crossings while running around the circle. For  $\hat{k} = 0$  the spectrum is always symmetric with respect to zero. We see that every spectral flow has to vanish.

**Remark 4.2.** The spectral flow of  $\mathcal{D}^a$  for  $\hat{k}$  is, by a folklore result of Atiyah, the same as the index of the positive Dirac operator over  $T^3 \times S^1$  equipped with the Spin<sup>C</sup> structure belonging to  $\hat{k} + a \cup e_{S^1}$ , where  $e_{S^1}$  is the positive generator of  $H^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z})$ . Since every two-form over  $T^3 \times S^1 \cong T^4$  can be written in this form, this allows us to calculate the index of  $\mathcal{D}_b^+$  for every  $b \in H^2(T^4; \mathbb{Z})$ . A direct computation yields  $\langle b \cup b, [T^4] \rangle$ .

With this Lemma we get a direct access to the following statement:

**Theorem 4.2.** The isomorphism  $\mathcal{I}$  maps the index of  $\mathcal{D}$  to the map  $x \mapsto \langle \hat{k} \cup x, [T^3] \rangle$ in  $\ell^*$ .

*Proof.* Take a fundamental basis  $a_1, a_2$  of the torus B; then an element in  $K^1(B)$  is determined by its images in  $K^1((\mathbb{R} \cdot a_i)/a_i)$ , which we calculate with the formula from the preceding lemma. Since the maps are linear, it is enough to check the theorem for  $a_1, a_2$  which is an easy exercise.

**Corollary 4.3.** Spectral sections for  $\mathcal{D}$  exist if and only if  $k \cup \ell = 0$ .

## **4.3** Construction of spectral sections for $k \neq 0$

**Theorem 4.4.** If spectral sections exist, the spectrum is constant.

*Proof.* From  $k \cup \ell = 0$  we know that for every  $\alpha \in (\ell \otimes \mathbb{R})$  we have  $\alpha_{\perp} = 0$ . From section 3.1.3 we know that this implies a constant spectrum.

Therefore, we have  $R_{\inf} = 0$ . For  $\varepsilon_P$  smaller than the smallest eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{D}$ , the spectral sections are fixed everywhere except for the *h*-dimensional kernel of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $I := \{F \mid F \text{ subbundle of } B \times \mathbb{C}^h\}_{\cong} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{h-1} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\mathbb{C}^k\}$  and define  $P_F|_{\ker \mathcal{D}}$ 

Let  $I := \{F \mid F \text{ subbundle of } B \times \mathbb{C}^n\}/\cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\mathbb{C}^k\} \text{ and define } P_F|_{\ker \mathcal{D}}$ for  $R < \varepsilon_P$  as the orthogonal projection onto F. This defines a system of infinitesimal spectral sections which is obviously also minimal.

## 4.4 Construction of spectral sections for $\hat{k} = 0$

We split  $\Gamma_{L^2}(\mathbb{H})$  into the 2-dimensional  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}$ -invariant subspaces  $\Sigma_b = \operatorname{span}\{\sigma_b^+, \sigma_b^-\}$ . On each of them, we have the two eigenvalues  $\pm \|\beta\| = \pm \|\alpha + 2\pi b\|$ . For small R we know that for each  $\alpha$  there is at most one b with  $\|\beta\| \leq R$ . So for any spectral section P for  $\mathcal{D}$  with small R we know that it fixes all  $\Sigma_b$ . Since  $P_{\alpha}|\Sigma_b:\Sigma_b\to\Sigma_b$  is a one-dimensional orthogonal projection for  $\|\beta\| > R$ , it has to be a one-dimensional orthogonal projective for all  $\alpha$ , since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are in bijective correspondence).

We now assume that  $\ell$  is a plane since dim  $\ell \leq 1$  does not lead to interesting conclusions. In addition to the assumptions about R above we assume that  $\varepsilon_P$  is smaller than the minimal distance between  $\ell \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and any point  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \setminus \ell$ . This implies that for such b there are no eigenvalues with  $\|\beta\| < R$  on  $\Sigma_b$ .

The space of one-dimensional orthogonal projections on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  equals  $\mathbb{CP}^1 \cong S^2$ . Fix an element  $b \in \ell_{\mathbb{Z}} = (\ell \otimes \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^3$  and look at the corresponding map  $P_{\beta}|_{\Sigma_b} : \ell \otimes \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$  (written as function of  $\beta$ ). For  $||\beta|| \geq R$  every ray coming from zero will be mapped to one point, producing a circle in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  (this follows from the construction of the eigenbasis). For  $||\beta|| < R$  we have to continue this map in some way; topologically, the problem is as follows: We have to construct a map from the 2-disc to the 2-sphere which maps the boundary pointwise to the equator. Up to homotopy, there are  $\pi_2(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  many choices for that.

### 4.4.1 A system of infinitesimal spectral sections

The preceding discussion leads to the following:

Since we had imposed no lower bounds for R, we have  $R_{\inf} = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon_P$  be so small that if fulfills all conditions mentioned above.

We take  $I = \{g : \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}/\ell \to \pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^1)\}$  and define for each  $R < \varepsilon_P$  spectral projections  $P^g$ . For  $b \notin \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}$  these maps are already defined on  $\Sigma_b$ . For  $b \in \ell_Z$ , we define  $P^g_{\alpha}$  on  $\Sigma_b$  to be a continuation specified by  $g(b) \in \pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^1)$  as discussed in the preceding subsection (These continuations can be chosen to depend continuously on the parameters).

Conditions 1 and 2 (from the definition of infinitesimal spectral sections) are clear, 3 can be checked directly (if we specify the continuations explicitly), and 4 follows from the discussion above.

In general this system is not minimal. We can choose a minimal system J by fixing an element  $g_0 \in I$  and a point  $l_0 \in \ell_{\mathbb{Z}}/\ell$  and defining

$$J = \{ g \in I \mid g(l) = g_0(l) \text{ for } l \neq l_0 \}.$$

This is true because J represents all element of the form (0, z) from  $K(B) \cong H^0(B; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(B; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .

Acknowledgements. This article grew out of my dissertation [3]. I would like to thank my supervisor Prof. Stefan Bauer for his support. Furthermore, I thank Johannes Ebert for helpful suggestions.

## References

- A.L. Almorox and C.T. Prieto, Holomorphic spectrum of twisted Dirac operators on compact Riemann surfaces, J. Geom. Phys. 56 (2006), 2069-2091.
- [2] T. Friedrich, Zur abhangigkeit des dirac-operators von der spin-struktur, Colloquium Mathematicum, Vol. XLVIII, 1984.
- [3] F. Meier, Spectral properties of Spinc Dirac operators on  $T^3$ ,  $S^1 \times S^2$ and  $S^3$ , PhD thesis, Universitat Bielefeld, July 2010. http://bieson.ub.unibielefeld.de/volltexte/2010/1731/.
- [4] R.B. Melrose and P. Piazza, Families of dirac operators, boundaries and the bcalculus, J. Differential Geom. 45 (1997), 99-180.
- [5] J.W. Morgan, The Seiberg-Witten Equations and Applications to the Topology of Smooth Four-Manifolds, Princeton University Press, first edition, 1996.
- [6] J.W. Morgan, T.S. Mrowka and Z. Szabo, Product formulas along T<sup>3</sup> for Seiberg-Witten invariants, Math. Res. Lett. 4 (1997), 915-929.

Author's address:

Johannes Fabian Meier

Institute of Mathematics, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany. E-mail: brief@fabianmeier.de