

DECOMPOSITIONS OF MOTIVES  
OF GENERALIZED SEVERI-BRAUER VARIETIES

MAKSIM ZHYKHOVICH

Received: October 13, 2011

Revised: November 11, 2011

Communicated by Alexander Merkurjev

ABSTRACT. Let  $p$  be a positive prime number and  $X$  be a Severi-Brauer variety of a central division algebra  $D$  of degree  $p^n$ , with  $n \geq 1$ . We describe all shifts of the motive of  $X$  in the complete motivic decomposition of a variety  $Y$ , which splits over the function field of  $X$  and satisfies the nilpotence principle. In particular, we prove the motivic decomposability of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties  $X(p^m, D)$  of right ideals in  $D$  of reduced dimension  $p^m$ ,  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ , except the cases  $p = 2$ ,  $m = 1$  and  $m = 0$  (for any prime  $p$ ), where motivic indecomposability was proven by Nikita Karpenko.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14L17; 14C25

Keywords and Phrases: Central simple algebras, generalized Severi-Brauer varieties, Chow groups and motives.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	152
2. Chow motives with finite coefficients	153
3. Main results	154
4. Complete motivic decompositions	159
References	164

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $F$  be an arbitrary field and  $p$  be a prime number. For any integer  $l$ , we write  $v_p(l)$  for the exponent of the highest power of  $p$  dividing  $l$ .

Let  $D$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra of degree  $p^n$ , with  $n \geq 1$ . We write  $X(p^m, D)$  for the generalized Severi-Brauer variety of right ideals in  $D$  of reduced dimension  $p^m$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . In particular,  $X(p^n, D) = \text{Spec } F$  and  $X(1, D)$  is the usual Severi-Brauer variety of  $D$ . The generalized Severi-Brauer varieties are twisted forms of grassmannians (see [11, §I.1.C]).

For each integer  $m = 0, \dots, n$  we define an *upper motive*  $M_{m,D}$  in the category of Chow motives with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . This is the summand of the complete motivic decomposition of the variety  $X(p^m, D)$  such that the 0-codimensional Chow group of  $M_{m,D}$  is non-zero.

Let  $A$  be a central simple  $F$ -algebra, such that the  $p$ -primary component of  $A$  is Brauer equivalent to  $D$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}_A$  be the class of finite direct products of projective  $(\text{Aut } A)$ -homogeneous  $F$ -varieties (the class  $\mathfrak{X}_A$  includes the generalized Severi-Brauer varieties of the algebra  $A$ ). Nikita Karpenko proved the following theorem [9, Theorem 3.8]. Any variety  $X$  from  $\mathfrak{X}_A$  decomposes into a sum of shifts of the motives  $M_{m,D}$  with  $m \leq v_p(\text{ind } A_{F(X)})$ . This theorem shows that the motivic indecomposable summands  $M_{m,D}$  of the generalized Severi-Brauer varieties  $X(p^m, D)$  are some kind of “basic material” to construct the motives of more general class of varieties. This gives us a motivation to understand the structure of the upper motives  $M_{m,D}$  themselves. It was known that in the cases  $m = 0$  (Severi-Brauer case, see Corollary 3.2) and  $m = 1$ ,  $p = 2$  ([9, Theorem 4.2]) the motive  $M_{m,D}$  coincides with the whole motive of the variety  $X(p^m, D)$  (that is, the motive of this variety is indecomposable). Taking into account these cases and the fact that any generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $X(p^m, D)$  is  $p$ -incompressible [9, Theorem 4.3] (this condition is weaker than motivic indecomposability), one probably expected that the Chow motive with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of any variety  $X(p^m, D)$  is indecomposable. But, except the two already mentioned cases, the motivic decomposability of generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $X(p^m, D)$  was proven in [14].

This article is an extended version of [14]. To show that the motive of the variety  $X(p^m, D)$  is decomposable, we prove in [14] that some shifts of  $M_{0,D}$  are the motivic summands of  $X(p^m, D)$ . Let  $Y$  be a  $F$ -variety satisfying the nilpotence principle and such that it splits over the function field of  $X(1, D)$ . For example, one can take for  $Y$  any generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $X(p^m, D)$  and, more generally, any variety from  $\mathfrak{X}_A$ . The main result of the present article (Theorem 3.4) find all shifts of  $M_{0,D}$  in the complete motivic decomposition of the variety  $Y$  in terms of some subgroups of rational cycles. These subgroups can be described in the case of generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $X(p^m, D)$  (see Proposition 3.7). As consequence, we prove the motivic decomposability of these varieties in Corollary 3.8. With Theorem 3.4 in hand, we find in §4 more examples (comparing to [14]) of complete motivic decompositions of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties  $X(p^m, D)$  and therefore we describe the upper

motives  $M_{m,D}$  in that cases. Theorem 3.4 also permits to prove differently (see Corollary 3.12, [3, Corollary 5]) a particular case of the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1.1. *Let  $D$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra. Let  $K/F$  be a field extension such that  $D_K$  is still division. Then  $(M_{m,D})_K$  is still indecomposable.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I would like to express particular gratitude to Nikita Karpenko, my Ph.D. thesis adviser, for introducing me to the subject, raising the question studied here, and guiding me during this work. I am also very grateful to Olivier Haution, Sergey Tikhonov and Skip Garibaldi for very useful discussions.

## 2. CHOW MOTIVES WITH FINITE COEFFICIENTS

A variety is a separated scheme of finite type over a field. Our basic reference for Chow groups and Chow motives (including notations) is [4]. We fix an associative unital commutative ring  $\Lambda$ . Given a variety  $X$  over a field  $F$ , we write  $\text{Ch}(X)$  and  $\text{CH}(X)$  respectively for its Chow group with coefficients in  $\Lambda$  and for its integral Chow group. For a field extension  $L/F$  we denote by  $X_L$  the respective extension of scalars. An element of  $\text{Ch}(X_L)$  is called  $F$ -rational, if it lies in the image of the homomorphism  $\text{Ch}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(X_L)$ .

Our category of motives is the category  $\text{CM}(F, \Lambda)$  of graded Chow motives with coefficients in  $\Lambda$ , [4, definition of § 64]. By a sum of motives we always mean the direct sum. We also write  $\Lambda$  for the motive  $M(\text{Spec}F) \in \text{CM}(F, \Lambda)$ . A Tate motive is the motive of the form  $\Lambda(i)$  with  $i$  an integer.

Let  $X$  be a smooth complete variety over  $F$  and let  $M$  be a motive. We call  $M$  split if it is a finite sum of Tate motives. We call  $X$  split, if its integral motive  $M(X) \in \text{CM}(F, \mathbb{Z})$  (and therefore the motive of  $X$  with an arbitrary coefficient ring  $\Lambda$ ) is split. We call  $M$  or  $X$  geometrically split, if it splits over a field extension of  $F$ . For a geometrically split variety  $X$  over  $F$ , we denote by  $\bar{X}$  the scalar extension of  $X$  to a splitting field of its motive and we write  $\bar{\text{Ch}}(X)$  for the subring of  $F$ -rational cycles in  $\text{Ch}(\bar{X})$ . Note that the rings  $\text{Ch}(\bar{X})$  and  $\bar{\text{Ch}}(X)$  are independent on the choice of a splitting field.

Over an extension of  $F$  the geometrically split motive  $M$  becomes isomorphic to a finite sum of Tate motives. We write  $\text{rk } M$  and  $\text{rk}_i M$  for respectively the number of all summands and the number of summands  $\Lambda(i)$  in this decomposition, where  $i$  is an integer. Note that these two numbers do not depend on the choice of a splitting field extension.

We say that  $X$  satisfies the nilpotence principle, if for any field extension  $E/F$  and any coefficient ring  $\Lambda$ , the kernel of the change of field homomorphism  $\text{End}(M(X)) \rightarrow \text{End}(M(X)_E)$  consists of nilpotents. Any projective homogeneous (under an action of a semisimple affine algebraic group) variety is geometrically split and satisfies the nilpotence principle, [4, Theorem 92.4 with Remark 92.3].

A complete decomposition of an object in an additive category is a finite direct sum decomposition with indecomposable summands. We say that the Krull-Schmidt principle holds for a given object of a given additive category, if every direct sum decomposition of the object can be refined to a complete one (in particular, a complete decomposition exists) and there is only one (up to a permutation of the summands) complete decomposition of the object. We have the following theorem:

**THEOREM 2.1.** ([2, Theorem 3.6 of Chapter I]). *Assume that the coefficient ring  $\Lambda$  is finite. The Krull-Schmidt principle holds for any shift of any summand of the motive of any geometrically split  $F$ -variety satisfying the nilpotence principle.*

We will use the following two statements in the next section.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Assume that the coefficient ring  $\Lambda$  is a field. Let  $X$  be a split variety. Then the bilinear form  $\mathfrak{b} : \mathrm{Ch}(X) \times \mathrm{Ch}(X) \rightarrow \Lambda$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}(x, y) = \deg(x \cdot y)$  is non-degenerate.*

*Proof.* Since the motive of  $X$  decomposes into a finite sum of Tate motives, we have the following decomposition for the diagonal class  $\Delta \in \mathrm{Ch}_{\dim X}(X \times X)$ :

$$\Delta = a_1 \times b_1 + \dots + a_n \times b_n,$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  are the homogeneous elements in  $\mathrm{Ch}(X)$ , such that for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$  the degree  $\deg(a_i \cdot b_j) \in \Lambda$  is 0 for  $i \neq j$  and 1 for  $i = j$ .

Note that  $\dim_{\Lambda} \mathrm{Ch}(X) = \mathrm{rk} M(X) = n < \infty$ . Therefore, to prove the lemma it suffices to show that  $\mathrm{rad} \mathfrak{b} = \{0\}$ . Suppose that  $x \in \mathrm{rad} \mathfrak{b}$  (this means  $\mathfrak{b}(x, y) = 0$  for any  $y \in \mathrm{Ch}(X)$ ). Then we have

$$x = \Delta_*(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg(x \cdot a_i) b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{b}(x, a_i) b_i = 0.$$

□

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Assume that the coefficient ring  $\Lambda$  is finite. Let  $X$  be a variety satisfying the nilpotence principle. Let  $f \in \mathrm{End}(M(X))$  and  $1_E = f_E \in \mathrm{End}(M(X)_E)$  for some field extension  $E/F$ . Then  $f^n = 1$  for some positive integer  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  satisfies the nilpotence principle, we have  $f = 1 + \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is nilpotent. Let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $\varepsilon^n = 0 = n\varepsilon$ . Then  $f^{n^n} = (1 + \varepsilon)^{n^n} = 1$  because the binomial coefficients  $\binom{n^n}{i}$  for  $i < n$  are divisible by  $n$ . □

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $p$  be a positive prime integer. The coefficient ring  $\Lambda$  is  $\mathbb{F}_p$  in this section. Let  $F$  be a field. Let  $D$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra of degree  $p^n$ . We

write  $X(p^m, D)$  for the generalized Severi-Brauer variety of right ideals in  $D$  of reduced dimension  $p^m$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $E/F$  be a splitting field extension for  $X = X(1, D)$ . Then the subgroup of  $F$ -rational cycles in  $\text{Ch}_{\dim X}(X_E \times X_E)$  is generated by the diagonal class.*

*Proof.* By [7, Proposition 2.1.1], we have  $\bar{\text{Ch}}^i(X) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Since the (say, first) projection  $X^2 \rightarrow X$  is a projective bundle, we have a (natural with respect to the base field change) isomorphism  $\text{Ch}_{\dim X}(X^2) \simeq \text{Ch}(X)$ . Passing to  $\bar{\text{Ch}}$ , we get an isomorphism  $\bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim X}(X^2) \simeq \bar{\text{Ch}}(X) = \bar{\text{Ch}}^0(X)$  showing that  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim X}(X^2) = 1$ . Since the diagonal class in  $\bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim X}(X^2)$  is non-zero, it generates all the group.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.2. (cf. [7, Theorem 2.2.1]). *The motive with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of the Severi-Brauer variety  $X = X(1, D)$  is indecomposable.*

*Proof.* To prove that our motive is indecomposable it is enough to show that  $\text{End}(M(X)) = \text{Ch}_{\dim X}(X \times X)$  does not contain nontrivial projectors. Let  $\pi \in \text{Ch}_{\dim X}(X \times X)$  be a projector. By Lemma 3.1,  $\pi_E$  is zero or equal to  $1_E$ . Since  $X$  satisfies the nilpotence principle,  $\pi$  is nilpotent in the first case, but also idempotent, therefore  $\pi$  is zero. Lemma 2.3 gives us  $\pi = 1$  in the second case.  $\square$

Nikita Karpenko proved the motivic indecomposability of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties also in the case  $p = 2, m = 1$ .

THEOREM 3.3. (cf. [9, Theorem 4.2]). *Let  $D$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra of degree  $2^n$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Then the motive with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  of the variety  $X(2, D)$  is indecomposable.*

Corollary 3.8 of the following main theorem will show that Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 give us the only cases when the motive of generalized Severi-Brauer variety is indecomposable.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $D$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra of degree  $p^n$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $X$  be the Severi-Brauer variety  $X(1, D)$  and  $Y$  be a variety satisfying nilpotence principle, such that  $Y$  is split over the function field of  $X$ . Then for any integer  $k$  the number of copies  $M(X)(k)$  in the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y$  is equal to  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} f_* \text{Ch}_{\dim Y - k}(X \times Y)$ , where  $f$  is a projection onto the second factor.*

*Proof.* We fix an integer  $k$  and we note the motive  $M(X)(k)$  simply by  $M$ . Let  $r$  be the number of copies of  $M$  in the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y$ . We note  $V := f_* \bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim Y - k}(X \times Y)$  and  $r' := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$ . We want to show that  $r = r'$ .

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_m$  and  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  be the motives. We recall that a morphism between the motives  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m A_i$  and  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n B_j$  is given by an  $n \times m$ -matrix of morphisms  $A_i \rightarrow B_j$ . The composition of morphisms is the matrix multiplication.

The motive  $M^{\oplus r}$  is a summand of the motive  $M(Y)$ . Therefore there exist two morphisms  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)^t \in \text{Hom}(M^{\oplus r}, M(Y))$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in \text{Hom}(M(Y), M^{\oplus r})$ , such that

$$\beta \circ \alpha = (\beta_j \circ \alpha_i)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} = (\delta_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r},$$

where  $(\delta_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$  is the identity morphism in  $\text{Hom}(M^{\oplus r}, M^{\oplus r})$  (that is  $\delta_{i,j}$  is zero if  $i \neq j$  and  $\delta_{i,i}$  is the diagonal class  $\Delta$  in  $\text{Corr}_0(X, X)$  if  $i = j$ ).

Let  $E = F(X)$ , then  $E/F$  is a splitting field extension for the varieties  $X$  and  $Y$  (here we use the condition of the theorem) and  $X_E \simeq \mathbb{P}^d$ , where  $d = p^n - 1$ . We know that  $\Delta_E = \sum_{i=0}^d h^i \times h^{d-i}$ , where  $h$  is the hyperplane class in  $\text{Ch}^1(X_E)$ . For any  $1 \leq i \leq r$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_i)_E \circ (\alpha_i)_E &= (\delta_{i,i})_E = \Delta_E = \\ &= h^0 \times h^d + \sum_{i=1}^d h^i \times h^{d-i} = [X_E] \times [pt] + \sum_{i=1}^d h^i \times h^{d-i}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $[pt]$  is the class of a rational point in  $\text{Ch}(X_E)$ . Therefore the correspondences  $\beta_i \in \text{Ch}_{\dim Y - k}(Y_E \times X_E)$  and  $\alpha_i \in \text{Ch}_{d+k}(X_E \times Y_E)$  have to be of the following form:

$$(3.5) \quad (\beta_i)_E = b_i \times [pt] + \dots,$$

where  $b_i \in \text{Ch}^k(Y_E)$  is non-zero and where “...” stands for a linear combination of only those terms whose first factor has codimension  $> k$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad (\alpha_i)_E = [X_E] \times b_i^* + \dots,$$

where  $b_i^* \in \text{Ch}_k(Y_E)$  is such that  $\deg(b_i \cdot b_i^*) = 1$  and where “...” stands for a linear combination of only those terms whose second factor has dimension  $> k$ . For any  $i \neq j$  we have  $(\beta_j)_E \circ (\alpha_i)_E = 0$ , this implies that  $\deg(b_j \cdot b_i^*) = 0$ . Therefore the system of vectors  $\{b_1^*, \dots, b_r^*\}$  from the vector space  $\text{Ch}(Y_E)$  is dual to the system of vectors  $\{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$  with respect to the bilinear form  $\mathfrak{b} : \text{Ch}(Y_E) \times \text{Ch}(Y_E) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}(x_1, x_2) = \deg(x_1 \cdot x_2)$ . It follows that the vectors  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  are linearly independent. Since  $b_i = f_*((\beta_i^t)_E)$ , then  $b_i \in V$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Therefore  $r \leq r'$ .

Let now  $b_1, \dots, b_{r'}$  be a basis of  $V$ . We want to show that  $M^{\oplus r'}$  is a motivic summand of  $Y$ . By the definition of  $V$ , there exist correspondences  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r'} \in \text{Ch}_{\dim Y - k}(Y \times X)$  of the form (3.5), such that  $b_i = f_*((\beta_i^t)_E)$ . Since the variety  $Y_E$  is split, then by Lemma 2.2 the bilinear form  $\mathfrak{b}$  is non-degenerate. It follows that there exists a system of vectors  $\{b_1^*, \dots, b_{r'}^*\}$  from the vector space  $\text{Ch}(Y_E)$ , which is dual to the system of vectors  $\{b_1, \dots, b_{r'}\}$ . For any  $1 \leq i \leq r'$  we construct the correspondence  $\alpha_i \in \text{Ch}_{d+k}(X \times Y)$ , such that  $(\alpha_i)_E$  is of the form (3.6), by the following way. The pull-back homomorphism

$$g : \text{Ch}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(Y_{F(X)}) = \text{Ch}(Y_E)$$

with respect to the morphism  $Y_{F(X)} = (\text{Spec } F(X)) \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$  given by the generic point of  $X$  is surjective by [4, Corollary 57.11]. We define

$\alpha_i \in \text{Ch}(X \times Y)$  as a cycle whose image in  $\text{Ch}(Y_E)$  under the surjection  $g$  is  $b_i^*$ . We have  $(\alpha_i)_E = [X_E] \times b_i^* + \dots$ , so  $(\alpha_i)_E$  is of the form (3.6). The  $r'$ -tuples  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r'})^t$  and  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r'})$  give us respectively two morphisms  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(M^{\oplus r'}, M(Y))$  and  $\beta \in \text{Hom}(M(Y), M^{\oplus r'})$ . By the construction of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the matrix  $(\text{mult}((\beta_j)_E \circ (\alpha_i)_E))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$  is an identity matrix. Then, by Lemma 3.1,  $\beta_E \circ \alpha_E = ((\beta_j)_E \circ (\alpha_i)_E)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} = 1_E$ , where we note simply by 1 the identity morphism  $((\delta_{i,j}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$  in  $\text{Hom}(M^{\oplus r}, M^{\oplus r})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a disjoint union of  $r'$  copies of  $X$ , then  $\text{Hom}(M(\mathfrak{X}), M(\mathfrak{X})) = \text{Hom}(M^{\oplus r'}, M^{\oplus r'})$ . According to [4, Theorem 92.4] the variety  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfies the nilpotence principle. By Lemma 2.3, there exist a positive integer  $n$ , such that  $(\beta \circ \alpha)^n = 1$  (we apply Lemma 2.3 to the variety  $\mathfrak{X}$  and to the morphism  $\beta \circ \alpha \in \text{Hom}(M(\mathfrak{X}), M(\mathfrak{X}))$ ). The morphisms  $\alpha$  and  $(\beta \circ \alpha)^{n-1} \circ \beta$  give the isomorphism between the motive  $M^{\oplus r'}$  and a direct summand of  $M(Y)$ . Therefore  $r' \geq r$  and then finally  $r' = r$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.7.** *Let  $D$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra of degree  $p^n$  with  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be respectively the varieties  $X(1, D)$  and  $X(p^m, D)$ ,  $0 \leq m < n$ . Let  $E/F$  be a splitting field extension for the variety  $X$ , let  $T_1$  and  $T_{p^m}$  be the tautological bundles of rank 1 and  $p^m$  on  $X_E$  and  $Y_E$  respectively. Then the subring of  $F$ -rational cycles in  $\text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E)$  is generated by the Chern classes of the vector bundle  $T_1 \boxtimes (-T_{p^m})^\vee$  (we lift the bundles  $T_1$  and  $T_{p^m}$  on  $X_E \times Y_E$  and then take a product).*

*Proof.* Let  $Tav$  be the tautological vector bundle on  $X$ . The product  $X \times Y$  considered over  $X$  (via the first projection) is isomorphic (as a scheme over  $X$ ) to the Grassmann bundle  $G_r(Tav)$  of  $r$ -dimensional subspaces in  $Tav$  (cf. [6, Proposition 4.3]), where  $r = p^n - p^m$ . Let  $T$  be the tautological  $r$ -dimensional vector bundle on  $G_r(Tav)$ . By [5, Example 14.6.6], the Chow ring  $\text{Ch}(G_r(Tav))$  as an algebra over  $\text{Ch}(X)$  is generated by Chern classes  $c_0(T), c_1(T), \dots, c_r(T)$ . By [7, Proposition 2.1.1], we have  $\bar{\text{Ch}}(X) = \bar{\text{Ch}}^0(X) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot [X_E]$ . Therefore the Chow ring  $\bar{\text{Ch}}(X \times Y) \simeq \bar{\text{Ch}}(G_r(Tav))$  is generated (as a ring) by Chern classes  $c_0(T_E), \dots, c_r(T_E)$ . Since there exists an isomorphism (cf. [6, Proposition 4.3]):  $T_E \simeq T_1 \boxtimes (-T_{p^m})^\vee$ , we are done.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.8.** *The motive with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of the variety  $X(p^m, D)$  is decomposable for  $p = 2, 1 < m < n$  and for  $p > 2, 0 < m < n$ . In these cases  $M(X(1, D))(k)$  is a summand of  $M(X(p^m, D))$  for  $2 \leq k \leq p^n - p^m$ .*

*Proof.* We use the notations:  $X = X(1, D)$ ,  $Y = X(p^m, D)$ ,  $d = \dim(X(1, D)) = p^n - 1$ ,  $r = p^n - p^m$ . Let  $E = F(X)$ , then  $E/F$  is a splitting field extension for the variety  $X$  (and also for  $Y$ ). Over the field  $E$  the algebra  $D$  becomes isomorphic to  $\text{End}_E(V)$  for some  $E$ -vector space  $V$  of dimension  $d + 1 = p^n$ . We have  $X_E \simeq \mathbb{P}^d(V)$  and  $Y_E \simeq G_{p^m}(V)$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_{p^m}$  be the tautological bundles of rank 1 and  $p^m$  on  $X_E$  and  $Y_E$  respectively. We note by  $T$  the  $r$ -dimensional vector bundle  $T_1 \boxtimes (-T_{p^m})^\vee$  on  $X_E \times Y_E$ . By Proposition

3.7, the ring  $\overline{\text{Ch}}(X \times Y)$  is generated by Chern classes of the vector bundle  $T$ . Let  $h = c_1(T_1) \in \text{Ch}^1(X_E)$  (then  $-h$  is the hyperplane class in  $\text{Ch}^1(X_E)$ ) and  $c_i = c_i((-T_{p^m})^\vee) \in \text{Ch}^i(Y_E)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq r$ . Then by [5, Remark 3.2.3(b)]

$$(3.9) \quad c_t(T) = c_t(T_1 \boxtimes (-T_{p^m})^\vee) = \sum_{i=0}^r (1 + (h \times 1)t)^{r-i} (1 \times c_i)t^i.$$

It follows from the conditions of the corollary that the binomial coefficients  $\binom{p^n - p^m}{2}$ ,  $\binom{p^n - p^m}{p^m - 1}$  are divisible by  $p$  and  $\binom{p^n - p^m - 1}{p^m - 2} \equiv (-1)^{p^m - 2} \pmod{p}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(T) &= (p^n - p^m)h \times 1 + 1 \times c_1 = 1 \times c_1, \\ c_2(T) &= \binom{p^n - p^m}{2} h^2 \times 1 + (p^n - p^m - 1)h \times c_1 + 1 \times c_2 = -h \times c_1 + 1 \times c_2, \\ c_{p^m - 1}(T) &= \binom{p^n - p^m}{p^m - 1} h^{p^m - 1} \times 1 + \binom{p^n - p^m - 1}{p^m - 2} h^{p^m - 2} \times c_1 + \dots = \\ &= (-1)^{p^m - 2} h^{p^m - 2} \times c_1 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where “...” stands for a linear combination of only those terms whose second factor has codimension  $> 1$ . For the top Chern class we have:

$$c_r(T) = \sum_{i=0}^r h^{r-i} \times c_i.$$

For any integer  $k \geq 2$  we define  $\beta_k = c_r(T)c_{p^m - 1}(T)c_2(T)c_1(T)^{k-2} = (-h)^d \times c_1^k + \dots = [pt] \times c_1^k + \dots$ , where “...” stands for a linear combination of only those terms whose second factor has codimension  $> k$  and where  $[pt]$  is the class of a rational point in  $\text{Ch}(X_E)$ . Let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  be a projection onto the first factor. The cycle  $\beta_k$  is  $F$ -rational and  $f_*(\beta_k) = c_1^k$ . By [5, Example 14.6.6], the cycle  $c_1^k$  is non-zero for  $2 \leq k \leq p^n - p^m$ . Therefore  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} f_* \overline{\text{Ch}}_{\dim Y - k}(X \times Y) \geq 1$  for  $2 \leq k \leq p^n - p^m$ . The statement follows from Theorem 3.4.  $\square$

REMARK 3.10. The Corollary 3.8 also gives us some information about the integral motive of the variety  $X(p^m, D)$ . Indeed, according to [12, Corollary 2.7] the decomposition of  $M(X(p^m, D))$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  lifts (and in a unique way) to the coefficients  $\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}$  for any  $N \geq 2$ . Then by [12, Theorem 2.16] it lifts to  $\mathbb{Z}$  (uniquely for  $p = 2$  and  $p = 3$  and non-uniquely for  $p > 3$ ). See also Remark 4.14.

REMARK 3.11. Let  $l$  be an integer such that  $0 < l < p^n$  and  $\text{gcd}(l, p) = 1$ . The complete decomposition of the motive  $M(X(l, D))$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is described in [1, Proposition 2.4].

COROLLARY 3.12. *Let  $D$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra of  $p$ -primary index. Let  $K/F$  be a field extension, such that  $D_K$  is still division. Then the motive  $(M_{1,D})_K$  is still indecomposable.*

*Proof.* We note by  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively the varieties  $X(1, D)$  and  $X(p, D)$ . We note by  $M$  the motive  $M(X)$ . By [9, Theorem 3.8] the complete motivic decomposition of the variety  $Y$  consists of the motive  $M_{1,D}$  and of the sum of motives  $M$  (we neglect the shifts in this proof). Suppose that the motive  $(M_{1,D})_K$  is decomposable, then by the same theorem,  $M_K$  is a summand of  $(M_{1,D})_K$ . Therefore, the number of motives  $M_K$  in the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y_K$  is greater than the number of motives  $M$  in the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y$ . Let  $E/K$  be a splitting field extension for the algebra  $D$ . By Proposition 3.7, the subspace of  $K$ -rational cycles in  $\text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E)$  coincides with the subspace of  $F$ -rational cycles in  $\text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E)$ . Therefore the Theorem 3.4 gives a contradiction.  $\square$

4. COMPLETE MOTIVIC DECOMPOSITIONS

In the Corollary 3.8 we proved that the motive of the variety  $X(p^m, D)$  is decomposable for  $p = 2, 1 < m < n$  and for  $p > 2, 0 < m < n$ . Moreover, in these cases the Corollary 3.8 gives us a list of some motivic summands of the variety  $X(p^m, D)$ . By duality, we can extend this list. It happens, that in two small-dimensional cases  $p = 3, m = 1, n = 2$  and  $p = 2, m = 2, n = 3$  this is already a complete list of indecomposable motivic summands of the variety  $X(p^m, D)$ . Note that in general it is not true (see Example 4.8).

EXAMPLE 4.1. In this example we describe the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y := X(3, D)$  for a division  $F$ -algebra  $D$  of degree 9. We note by  $X$  the variety  $X(1, D)$  and by  $M$  the motive  $M(X)$ . Note that  $\dim X = 8$  and  $\dim Y = 18$ .

By [9, Theorem 3.8], any indecomposable motivic summand of  $Y$ , besides the upper motive  $M_{1,D}$ , is some shift of  $M$ . By Corollary 3.8, the motives  $M(2), M(3), M(4), M(5), M(6)$  and by duality  $M(8), M(7)$  are direct summands of  $M(Y)$ . Suppose that there is at least one more motive  $M(t)$  (for some integer  $t \geq 0$ ) in the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y$ . Since by [9, Theorem 4.3] the variety  $Y$  is 3-incompressible, we have

$$\text{rk}_0 M(Y) = \text{rk}_0 M_{1,D} = \text{rk}_{\dim Y} M_{1,D} = \text{rk}_{\dim Y} M(Y) = 1.$$

It follows that  $\text{rk}_0 M(t) = \text{rk}_{\dim Y} M(t) = 0$ . We have

$$1 \leq t \leq \dim Y - \dim X - 1 = 9.$$

Since the decomposition of any of eight motives  $M(2), M(3), \dots, M(8), M(t)$  into the sum of Tate motives over the splitting field contains a Tate motive  $\mathbb{F}_3(9)$ , then  $\text{rk}_9 M_{1,D} \leq \text{rk}_9 M(Y) - 8$ . According to [13, §2.5], we have  $\text{rk}_9 M(Y) = 8$ , therefore  $\text{rk}_9 M_{1,D} = 0$ .

By [8, Corollary 10.19], we have the following motivic decomposition of  $Y$  over the function field  $L = F(Y)$ :

$$(4.2) \quad M(Y)_L = \bigoplus_{i+j+k=3} M(X(i, C) \times X(j, C) \times X(k, C)),$$

where  $C$  is a central division  $L$ -algebra (of degree 3) Brauer-equivalent to  $D_L$ . Note that the triples  $(3, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 3, 0)$ ,  $(0, 0, 3)$  correspond to three Tate motives  $\mathbb{F}_3$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_3(9)$  and  $\mathbb{F}_3(18)$ . Let  $\widetilde{M} = M(X(1, C))$ , then by [8, Example 10.20],  $M_L = \widetilde{M} \oplus \widetilde{M}(3) \oplus \widetilde{M}(6)$ . It follows that the complete decomposition of  $M_L$  does not contain  $\mathbb{F}_3(9)$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{F}_3(9)$  is a direct motivic summand of  $(M_{1,D})_L$  and we have a contradiction with  $\text{rk}_9 M_{1,D} = 0$ .

The complete motivic decomposition of the variety  $X(3, D)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_3$  is the following one:

$$(4.3) \quad M(X(3, D)) = M_{1,D} \oplus M(2) \oplus M(3) \oplus M(4) \oplus M(5) \oplus M(6) \oplus M(7) \oplus M(8).$$

EXAMPLE 4.4. Similarly, as in the previous example, we can find the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y := X(4, D)$  for a division  $F$ -algebra  $D$  of degree 8. We note by  $M$  the motive  $M(X(1, D))$ .

By Corollary 3.8, the motives  $M(2)$ ,  $M(3)$ ,  $M(4)$  and by duality  $M(7)$ ,  $M(6)$ ,  $M(5)$  are direct summands of  $M(Y)$ . We have

$$M(X(4, D)) = M(2) \oplus \dots \oplus M(7) \oplus N$$

for some motive  $N$ . Assume that  $N$  is decomposable. Then by [9, Theorem 3.8], and Theorems 3.2, 3.3, the motive  $N$  has an indecomposable summand which is some shift of either  $M_{0,D} = M$  or  $M_{1,D} = M(X(2, D))$ . But the second case is impossible because

$$70 = \binom{8}{4} = \text{rk } M(Y) < 6 \text{rk } M + \text{rk } M(X(2, D)) = 6 \cdot 8 + \binom{8}{2} = 76$$

(see [9, Example 2.18] for the computations of ranks). Therefore  $M(t)$  is a summand of  $N$  for some integer  $t$ .

According to [8, Corollary 10.19], we can write the complete decomposition of  $N$  over the function field  $L = F(Y)$ :

$$N_L = \mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \widetilde{M}(1) \oplus M(X(2, C))(4) \oplus M(X(2, C))(8) \oplus \widetilde{M}(12) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2(16),$$

where  $C$  is a central division  $L$ -algebra (of degree 4) Brauer-equivalent to  $D_L$  and where  $\widetilde{M} = M(X(1, C))$ . It follows from this decomposition that the motive  $M(t)_L = \widetilde{M}(t) \oplus \widetilde{M}(t+4)$  can not be a direct summand of  $N_L$ . We have a contradiction. Therefore the motive  $N$  is indecomposable and  $N \simeq M_{2,D}$ .

Now we can write the complete motivic decomposition of  $X(4, D)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ :

$$(4.5) \quad M(X(4, D)) = M_{2,D} \oplus M(2) \oplus M(3) \oplus M(4) \oplus M(5) \oplus M(6) \oplus M(7).$$

Let us consider the following class of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties.

DEFINITION 4.6. We say that the generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $X(p^m, D)$  is of *type 0*, if the complete decomposition of  $M(X(p^m, D))$  consists only of the upper motive  $M_{m,D}$  and some (possibly zero) shifts of the motive  $M_{0,D} = M(X(1, D))$ .

For example, by [9, Theorem 3.8], the variety  $X(p, D)$  is of this type. Let  $Y$  be a generalized Severi-Brauer  $X(p^m, D)$  variety of type 0. By Theorem 3.4, the subspace of  $F$ -rational cycles in  $\text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E)$  describes the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y$ , where  $X = X(1, D)$ ,  $E = F(X)$ . Note that the structure of the ring  $\text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E) = \text{Ch}(X_E) \times \text{Ch}(Y_E)$  is well-known (cf. [5, § 14]) and by Proposition 3.7 we can compute the subring  $\bar{\text{Ch}}(X \times Y) \subset \text{Ch}(X_E \times Y_E)$ . Therefore we can say that the complete motivic decomposition of any generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $X(p^m, D)$  of type 0 can be “theoretically” found in a finite time using computer.

REMARK 4.7. We do not possess a single example of a variety  $X(p^m, D)$ , which is not of type 0. Therefore, it may happen that the generalized Severi-Brauer variety  $X(p^m, D)$  is always of type 0 (for any division  $F$ -algebra  $D$  of degree  $p^n$  and for any integer  $m$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n$ ). Note that if this is true, then Conjecture 1.1 holds (one can follow the lines of the proof of Corollary 3.12).

EXAMPLE 4.8. Let  $D$  be a central division  $F$ -algebra of degree 27. In this example we find complete motivic decomposition of the variety  $Y = X(3, D)$ , which is of type 0. We take the same notations as in the proof of Corollary 3.8:  $X = X(1, D)$ ,  $E = F(X)$ ,  $T = T_1 \boxtimes (-T_3)^\vee$ , where  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  are the tautological bundles of rank 1 and 3 on  $X_E$  and  $Y_E$  respectively (the vector bundle  $T$  is of the rank 24). We note also by  $V_*$  the graded  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -vector space  $f_* \bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim Y - *}(X \times Y)$ , where  $f$  is a projection onto the second factor.

By Theorem 3.4, for any integer  $k$  the number of motives  $M(k)$  in the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y$  is equal to  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} V_k$ , where  $M = M(X)$ . By duality, this number is also equal to the number of motives  $M(\dim Y - \dim X - k) = M(46 - k)$  in the same decomposition. Therefore the vector space  $V_{\leq 23}$  describes the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y$ .

Let  $h = c_1(T_1) \in \text{Ch}^1(X_E)$  and  $c_i = c_i((-T_3)^\vee) \in \text{Ch}^i(Y_E)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 24$ . Using the formula 3.9 we can compute the following Chern classes of the vector bundle  $T$ :

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(T) &= 1 \times c_1, & c_2(T) &= -h \times c_1 + 1 \times c_2, \\ c_7(T) &= 1 \times c_7, & c_8(T) &= -h \times c_7 + 1 \times c_8, \\ c_{24}(T) &= h^{24} \times 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{24} h^{24-i} \times c_i. \end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^2 &= f_*(c_{24}(T)(c_2(T))^2) \in V_2, & c_1 c_7 &= f_*(c_{24}(T)c_2(T)c_8(T)) \in V_8, \\ c_7^2 &= f_*(c_{24}(T)(c_8(T))^2) \in V_{14}. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have the following property:

$$(4.9) \quad x \in V_* \Rightarrow (x c_1 \in V_{*+1} \quad \text{and} \quad x c_7 \in V_{*+7}).$$

Indeed, if  $x \in V_*$ , then  $x = f_*(y)$  for some  $y \in \bar{\text{Ch}}_{\dim Y - *}(X_E \times Y_E)$ . Therefore  $x c_1 = f_*(y \cdot c_1(T)) \in V_{*+1}$  and  $x c_7 = f_*(y \cdot c_7(T)) \in V_{*+7}$ .

This property gives us the following elements in  $V_i$ :

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ll} c_1^i & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq 7, \\ c_1^i, c_1^{i-7} c_7 & \text{if } 8 \leq i \leq 13, \\ c_1^i, c_1^{i-7} c_7, c_1^{i-14} c_7^2 & \text{if } 14 \leq i \leq 20, \\ c_1^i, c_1^{i-7} c_7, c_1^{i-14} c_7^2, c_1^{i-21} c_7^3 & \text{if } 21 \leq i \leq 23. \end{array}$$

We also define a sequence  $b_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq 7 \\ 2 & \text{if } 8 \leq i \leq 13 \\ 3 & \text{if } 14 \leq i \leq 20 \\ 4 & \text{if } 21 \leq i \leq 23 \\ b_{46-i} & \text{if } i > 23. \end{cases}$$

Note that for any  $i \leq 23$  the number of elements lying in  $V_i$  from the list 4.10 is equal to  $b_i$ .

We are going to show that all elements from the list 4.10 are linearly independent (to apply then Theorem 3.4). The  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -vector space  $V_*$  is a subspace of  $\text{Ch}^*(Y_E)$ . We note  $\tilde{c}_i = c_i(T_3^\vee), i = 1, 2, 3$ , where  $T_3$  is the tautological bundle of rank 3 on  $Y_E$ . According to [5, Example 14.6.6] the graded ring  $\text{Ch}^*(Y_E)$  is generated by Chern classes  $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3, c_1, \dots, c_{24}$  modulo the homogeneous relations

$$(4.11) \quad c_r + c_{r-1}\tilde{c}_1 + c_{r-2}\tilde{c}_2 + c_{r-3}\tilde{c}_3 = 0 \quad \text{for } r = 1, \dots, 27,$$

where  $c_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$  or  $i > 24$ . It follows that the graded ring  $\text{Ch}^*(Y_E)$  is generated by only three elements  $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3$  modulo some homogeneous relations of degree greater than 23. Therefore we have an isomorphism:

$$\text{Ch}^{*\leq 23}(Y_E) \simeq \mathbb{F}_3[\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3]_{\leq 23}.$$

Using relations 4.11 we can compute that  $c_1 = -\tilde{c}_1$  and  $c_7 = -\tilde{c}_1^7 + \tilde{c}_1^4\tilde{c}_3 - \tilde{c}_1^3\tilde{c}_2^2 + \tilde{c}_1\tilde{c}_2^3$ .

Now we consider the elements from the list 4.10 as polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_3[\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3]$ . To show that all of them are linearly independent, it suffices to check this for four elements  $c_1^{21}, c_1^{14}c_7, c_1^7c_7^2, c_7^3$  (they are in our list) from  $V_{21}$ . Since the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{F}_3[\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3]$  is factorial and  $c_7$  is not divisible by  $\tilde{c}_1^2$ , then for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}_3$  we have

$$\alpha c_1^{21} + \beta c_1^{14}c_7 + \gamma c_1^7c_7^2 + \delta c_7^3 = 0 \implies \alpha = \beta = \gamma = \delta = 0.$$

Since all elements from the list 4.10 are linearly independent, then  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} V_i \geq b_i$  for  $i \leq 23$ . Therefore for any integer  $i$  the motive  $M^{\oplus b_i}(i)$  is a direct summand of  $M(Y)$ . Indeed, the statement follows from Theorem 3.4 for  $i \leq 23$  and by duality it is also true for  $i > 23$ . We have

$$(4.12) \quad M(Y) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{\oplus b_i}(i) \oplus N$$

for some motive  $N$  over  $F$ .

Now we want to understand the complete decomposition of  $N$ . Let  $L$  be a function field of the variety  $Y$  and  $C$  be a central division  $L$ -algebra (of degree

9) Brauer-equivalent to  $D_L$ . Using the motivic decomposition similar to the decomposition 4.2 from Example 4.1, we can show that the complete decomposition of  $M(Y)_L$  contains three indecomposable motives:  $M_{1,C}$ ,  $M_{1,C}(27)$ ,  $M_{1,C}(54)$ . Moreover any other summand in the complete motivic decomposition of  $M(Y)_L$  is a shift of the motive  $\widetilde{M} := M(X(1, C))$ . We know that  $M_L = \widetilde{M} \oplus \widetilde{M}(9) \oplus \widetilde{M}(18)$ . It follows that  $N_L = M_{1,C} \oplus M_{1,C}(27) \oplus M_{1,C}(54) \oplus N'$  for some motive  $N'$  over  $L$  and  $N'$  is a sum of shifts of the motive  $\widetilde{M}$ . Note that if  $M(k)$  is direct summand of  $N$  for some integer  $k$ , then  $M_L(k)$  is a direct summand of  $N'$ .

Let  $S$  be a direct summand of the motive of a geometrically split variety. We write  $P(S, t)$  for the Poincaré polynomial of  $S$ :

$$P(S, t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (\text{rk}_i S) \cdot t^i.$$

Let us find the Poincaré polynomial of the motive  $N'$ . We have

$$P(N', t) = P(M(Y), t) - (1 + t^{27} + t^{54})P(M_{1,C}, t) - \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i t^i P(M, t).$$

Using the following formulas

$$P(M(Y), t) = \frac{(1 - t^{27})(1 - t^{26})(1 - t^{25})}{(1 - t)(1 - t^2)(1 - t^3)}, \text{ (according to [13, §2.5]),}$$

$$P(M, t) = \frac{1 - t^{27}}{1 - t} = \sum_{i=0}^{26} t^i,$$

$$P(M_{1,C}, t) = t^6 + t^{12} + \sum_{i=0}^{26} t^i, \text{ (by Example 4.3) ,}$$

we can compute  $P(N', t)$ . Since  $N'$  is a sum of shifts of the motive  $\widetilde{M}$  then  $P(N', t)$  is divisible by  $P(\widetilde{M}, t) = (1 - t^9)/(1 - t) = 1 + t + \dots + t^8$ . Let  $Q(t)$  be a quotient of these two polynomials. After computations, we have

$$Q(t) = t^7 + t^{13} + t^{16} + t^{18} + t^{19} + t^{20} + t^{22} + t^{24} + t^{26} + t^{28} + t^{29} + t^{30} + t^{34} + t^{35} + t^{36} + t^{38} + t^{40} + t^{42} + t^{44} + t^{45} + t^{46} + t^{48} + t^{51} + t^{57}.$$

Now if  $M(k)$  is direct summand of  $N$  for some integer  $k$ , then  $M_L(k) = \widetilde{M}(k) \oplus \widetilde{M}(k + 9) \oplus \widetilde{M}(k + 18)$  is a direct summand of  $N'$ . Therefore in this case the decomposition of  $Q(t)$  contains  $t^k + t^{k+9} + t^{k+18} = P(M_L(k), t)/P(\widetilde{M}, t)$ . Only two values  $k = 20$  and  $k = 26$  satisfy this condition. Note that if complete decomposition of the motive  $N$  contains  $M(20)$  then by duality it contains also  $M(26)$ . It follows that the question of the complete motivic decomposition of  $Y$  reduces to the question either  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} V_{20} = 3$  or  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} V_{20} = 4$ ? Let us show that we are in the second case.

Consider the following cycle  $e$  from  $V_{20}$

$$e = f_*(c_2^{11}(-T)c_3^8(-T)) = -\tilde{c}_1^{17}\tilde{c}_3 + \tilde{c}_1^{16}\tilde{c}_2^2 - \tilde{c}_1^{14}\tilde{c}_2^3 - \tilde{c}_1^{14}\tilde{c}_3^2 - \tilde{c}_1^{13}\tilde{c}_2^2\tilde{c}_3 - \tilde{c}_1^{12}\tilde{c}_2^4 + \tilde{c}_1^{11}\tilde{c}_2^3\tilde{c}_3 - \tilde{c}_1^{11}\tilde{c}_3^3 - \tilde{c}_1^{10}\tilde{c}_2^5 - \tilde{c}_1^2\tilde{c}_2^9,$$

where  $c_2(-T) = -h\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2$ ,  $c_3(-T) = h^3 + h^2\tilde{c}_1 + h\tilde{c}_2 + \tilde{c}_3$ . The cycle  $e$  as a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_3[\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3]$  is not divisible by  $\tilde{c}_1^3$ . It follows that the cycle  $e$  could not be a linear combination of three cycles  $c_1^{20}$ ,  $c_1^{13}c_7$ ,  $c_1^6c_7^2$  from the list 4.10. Therefore  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3} V_{20} = 4$ .

Consider a sequence  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  defined by

$$a_i = \begin{cases} b_i + 1 & \text{if } i = 20 \text{ or } i = 26 \\ b_i & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The complete motivic decomposition of the variety  $Y$  is the following

$$(4.13) \quad M(Y) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{\oplus a_i} \oplus M_{1,D}.$$

REMARK 4.14. We have the same decompositions as (4.3), (4.5) and (4.13) for the motives with the integral coefficients. To show this one can apply [12, Corollary 2.7] and then [12, Theorem 2.16].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] CALMÈS, B., PETROV, V., SEMENOV, N., AND ZAINOULLINE, K. Chow motives of twisted flag varieties. *Compos. Math.* 142, 4 (2006), 1063-1080.
- [2] CHERNOUSOV, V., AND MERKURJEV, A. Motivic decomposition of projective homogeneous varieties and the Krull-Schmidt theorem. *Transform. Groups* 11, 3 (2006), 371-386.
- [3] DE CLERCQ, C. Motivic rigidity of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties. arXiv:1105.4981v1 [math.AG] (25 May 2011), 5 pages.
- [4] ELMAN, R., KARPENKO, N., AND MERKURJEV, A. *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, vol. 56 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [5] FULTON, W. *Intersection theory*. Second edition (Springer, Berlin, 1998).
- [6] IZHBOLDIN, O., KARPENKO, N. Some new examples in the theory of quadratic forms. *Math. Z.* 234 (2000), 647-695.
- [7] KARPENKO, N. Grothendieck Chow motives of Severi-Brauer varieties. *Algebra i Analiz* 7, 4 (1995), (196-213).
- [8] KARPENKO, N.A. Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and of isotropic flag varieties. *Algebra i Analiz* 12, 1 (2000), 3-69.
- [9] KARPENKO, N. A. Upper motives of algebraic groups and incompressibility of Severi-Brauer varieties. *Linear Algebraic Groups and Related Structures* (preprint server) 333 (2009, Apr 3, revised: 2009, Apr 24), 18 pages.
- [10] KARPENKO, N. A. Cohomology of relative cellular spaces and of isotropic flag varieties. *Algebra i Analiz* 12, 1 (2000), 3-69.

- [11] KNUS, M.-A., MERKURJEV, A., ROST, M., AND TIGNOL, J.-P. *The book of involutions*, vol. 44 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. With a preface in French by J. Tits.
- [12] PETROV, V., SEMENOV, N., AND ZAINOULLINE, K.  $J$ -invariant of linear algebraic groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 41 (2008), 1023-1053.
- [13] PETROV, V., SEMENOV, N. Generically split projective homogeneous varieties. *Duke Math. J.* 152 (2010), 155-173.
- [14] ZHYKHOVICH, M. Motivic decomposability of generalized Severi-Brauer varieties. *C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 348, 17-18 (2010), 989-992.

Maksim Zhykhovich  
UPMC Univ Paris 06  
UMR 7586  
Institut de Mathématiques  
de Jussieu  
(boite courrier 247)  
4 place Jussieu  
F-75252 Paris CEDEX 05  
France

