

**On sufficient conditions for starlikeness of
p-valently Bazilevič functions of the type β
and order γ ¹**

V.B.L Chaurasia, Vishal Saxena

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to establish certain sufficient conditions for the class of starlikeness p-valently Bazilevič functions of the type β and order γ . Our result is of general nature and capable of yielding a number of unknown new and interesting results.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:30C45.

Key words and phrases: Multivalent functions, Bazilevič functions, Starlikeness and convexity.

¹*Received 15 September, 2008*

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 10 October, 2008

1 Introduction and definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}_n(p)$ be the class of normalized functions of the form

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n+p}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (n \in N := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}),$$

which are *analytic* in the unit disk $\Delta := \{z : |z| < 1\}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_n(p)$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_n^*(p, \alpha)$, if it satisfies

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p; z \in \Delta).$$

A function in the class $\mathcal{S}_n^*(\alpha)$ is starlike of order α in Δ .

Let $\mathcal{K}_n(\alpha)$ be subclass of $\mathcal{A}_n(p)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfies

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p; z \in \Delta),$$

where $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n(p, 0) := \mathcal{S}_n(p)$.

Also a function $f \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is said to be p -valently Bazilevič function of type β ($\beta \geq 0$) and order γ ($0 \leq \gamma < p$), if there exists a function $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n^*(p)$, such that

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\beta} [g(z)]^\beta} \right\} > \gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < p; z \in \Delta).$$

We denote the class of all such functions by $\mathcal{B}_n(\beta, \gamma)$. In particular, when $\beta = 1$, a function $f \in \mathcal{K}_n(\gamma) := \mathcal{B}_n(1, \gamma)$ is p -valently close-to-convex of order γ in Δ . Moreover if $\beta = 0$, then $\mathcal{B}_n(0, \gamma) := \mathcal{S}_n^*(\gamma)$.

In order to prove our main results, we shall require the following lemma.

Lemma 1 ([3]) Let Ω be a set in the complex plane C and suppose that ϕ is a mapping from $C^2 \times \Delta$ to C which satisfies $\phi(ix; y; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \Delta$ and for all real x and y such that $y \geq -n(1+x^2)/2$. If the function $P(z) = 1 + c_n z^n + \dots$, is analytic in Δ and $\phi(P(z); zp(z); z) \in \Omega$ all $z \in \Delta$ then $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > 0$.

2 Main Results

Applying Lemma 1, we now derive the following

Theorem 1 Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_n(p)$, satisfies If

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\mu} [g(z)]^\mu} + \frac{\alpha z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha(1-\mu) \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \mu \frac{z g'(z)}{g(z)} + 1 \right\} \frac{z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\mu} [g(z)]^\mu} > \alpha \beta \left(\beta \delta + \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) + \left(\beta - \frac{np\alpha}{2} \right) \quad (z \in \Delta, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta < p),$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}_n(p, \mu, \beta)$.

Proof. Define $P(z)$ by

$$\frac{z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\mu} [g(z)]^\mu} = (p - \beta) P(z) + \beta,$$

then $P(z) = 1 + c_n z^n + \dots$ is analytic in Δ . Differentiate logarithmically (6) with respect to z and making a little simplification, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\mu} [g(z)]^\mu} + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - (1 - \mu) \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - \mu \frac{z g'(z)}{g(z)} \\ = \frac{(p - \beta) z P'(z) + \delta [(p - \beta) P(z) + \beta]^2}{(p - \beta) P(z) + \beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\frac{\alpha \delta z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\mu} [g(z)]^\mu} + \alpha \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha(1-\mu) \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \mu \frac{z g'(z)}{g(z)} + 1 \right] \frac{z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\mu} [g(z)]^\mu} \\
&= \alpha(p-\beta) z P'(z) + (2\beta\alpha\delta - \alpha + 1)(p-\beta) P(z) + \alpha\delta(p-\beta)^2 P^2(z) + (\delta\alpha\beta^2 - \alpha\beta + \beta) \\
(8) \qquad &= \phi\left(P(z), zP'(z); z\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(9) \quad \phi(r, s; t) = \alpha(p-\beta)\delta + (2\beta\alpha\delta - \alpha + 1)(p-\beta)r + \alpha\delta(p-\beta)^2 r^2 + (\delta\alpha\beta^2 - \alpha\beta + \beta)$$

for all real x and y satisfying $y = -n(1+x^2)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad \operatorname{Re}\phi(ix, y; z) &= \alpha(p-\beta)y - \alpha\delta(p-\beta)^2 x^2 + \beta(\alpha\beta\delta - \alpha + 1) \\
&\leq -\frac{\alpha}{2}(p-\beta)n - \left\{ \alpha\delta(p-\beta)^2 + \frac{n\alpha}{2}(p-\beta) \right\} x^2 + \beta(\alpha\beta\delta - \alpha + 1) \\
&= -\frac{\alpha}{2}(p-\beta)n - \frac{\alpha(p-\beta)}{2}(n+2p\delta-2\beta)x^2 + \beta(\alpha\beta\delta - \alpha + 1) \\
&\leq \alpha\beta\left(\beta\delta + \frac{n}{2} - 1\right) + \left(\beta - \frac{np\alpha}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Omega = \left\{ w; \operatorname{Re} w > 0, \alpha\beta\left(\beta\delta + \frac{n}{2} - 1\right) + \left(\beta - \frac{np\alpha}{2}\right) \right\},$$

then

$$\phi\left(P(z), zP'(z); z\right) \in \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(ix, y; z) \notin \Omega.$$

For all real x and $y = -n(1+x^2)/2$, $z \in \Delta$, By application of lemma (1), the result (5) follows at once.

On taking $\delta = 1$, $\mu = 0$ in Theorem 1, we get

Corollary 1 *If $f(z) \in A_n(p)$ satisfies*

$$(11) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(\alpha z \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 \right) \right\} > \alpha\beta \left(\beta + \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) + \left(\beta + \frac{np\alpha}{2} \right),$$

($z \in \Delta, 0 \leq \alpha, 0 \leq \beta < p$) then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_n(p, \beta)$.

On taking $\beta = 0, n = 1, p = 1$ and $\beta = \alpha/2, n = 1, p = 1$ respectively we have a known result obtained by Ravichandran et al. [1]. On taking $\mu = 1$ and $\delta = 1$, we find an interesting result contained in the following corollary.

Corollary 2 *If $f(z) \in A_n(p)$, satisfies*

$$(12) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha z f'(z)}{g(z)} + \frac{\alpha z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \frac{z g'(z)}{g(z)} + 1 \right\} \frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} > \alpha\beta \left(\beta + \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) + \left(\beta - \frac{np\alpha}{2} \right) \quad (z \in \Delta, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta < p),$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_n(p, \beta)$.

Theorem 2 *Let $0 \leq \beta < p$,*

$$\lambda = \left(p - \beta + \frac{n}{2} \right)^2 (p - \beta)^2, \quad \eta = \left\{ \frac{n}{2} (p - \beta) - (\delta\beta^2 - \beta) \right\}^2$$

$$v = (p - \beta)^2 + (\delta\beta^2 - \beta) \text{ and } \sigma = (p - \beta)^2 (2\beta - 1)^2$$

Also, suppose that t_0 to be the smallest positive root of the equation

$$(13) \quad 2\lambda (p - \beta)^2 t^3 + \{ (p - \beta)^2 (2\lambda + \eta - v + \sigma) + 3\lambda\beta^2 \} t^2 + 2\beta^2 (2\lambda + \eta - v + \sigma) t + (\lambda + 2\eta - v + \sigma)\beta^2 - (p - \beta)^2 \eta = 0$$

and

$$\frac{(p - \beta)^2 (1 + t_0)}{(p - \beta)^2 t_0 + \beta^2} [\lambda t_0^2 + (\lambda + \eta - v + \sigma) t_0 + \eta] = \rho^2.$$

Now, if

$$\left| \left[\frac{\delta z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\mu} [g(z)]^\mu} - p \right] \left[\frac{\delta z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\mu} [g(z)]^\mu} + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - (1-\mu) \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - \mu \frac{z g'(z)}{g(z)} \right] \right| \leq \beta, z \in \Delta$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}_n(p, \mu, \beta)$.

Proof. Define $P(z)$ by

$$(p - \beta) P(z) + \beta = \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\mu} [g(z)]^\mu} \right]$$

then $P(z) = 1 + c_n z^n + \dots$, is analytic in Δ . A computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta z f'(z)}{[f(z)]^{1-\mu} [g(z)]^\mu} + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - (1-\mu) \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - \mu \frac{z g'(z)}{g(z)} \\ = \frac{(p-\beta)zP'(z) + \delta\{(p-\beta)P(z) + \beta\}^2 - \{(p-\beta)P(z) + \beta\}}{(p-\beta)P(z) + \beta} \\ = \varphi(P(z), zP'(z); z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi(r, s; t) = \frac{(p - \beta)(r - 1)}{(p - \beta)r + \beta} \left[(p - \beta)s + \delta \{ (p - \beta)r + \beta \}^2 - \{ (p - \beta)r + \beta \} \right]$$

For all real x and $y = -n(1 + x^2)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(ix, y; z)|^2 &= \frac{(p - \beta)^2 (1 + x^2)}{(p - \beta)^2 x^2 + \beta^2} \left[\{ (p - \beta)y - (p - \beta)^2 x^2 \delta + \delta \beta^2 - \beta \}^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (p - \beta)^2 x^2 (2\delta\beta - 1)^2 \right] = g(x^2, y) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = \frac{2(p - \beta)^2 (1 + x^2)}{(p - \beta)^2 x^2 + \beta^2} \left[(p - \beta)y - (p - \beta)^2 x^2 \delta + \delta \beta^2 - \beta \right] < 0$$

then we have

$$h(t) = g(t, -n(1 + t)/2) \leq g(t, y)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(t) &= \frac{(p-\beta)^2(1+t)}{(p-\beta)^2t+\beta^2} \left[(p-\beta)^2 \left(p-\beta+\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 t^2 + \left\{ (p-\beta)^2 \left(p-\beta+\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n}{2}(p-\beta) - (\delta\beta^2 - \beta)\right)^2 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \left((p-\beta)^2 + (\delta\beta^2 - \beta)\right)^2 + (p-\beta)^2 (2\beta - 1)^2 \right\} t + \left(\frac{n}{2}(p-\beta) - (\delta\beta^2 - \beta)\right)^2 \right] \\
 &= \frac{(p-\beta)^2(1+t)}{(p-\beta)^2t+\beta^2} [\lambda t^2 + (\lambda + \eta - v + \sigma)t + \eta],
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda = \left(p - \beta + \frac{n}{2}\right)^2 (p - \beta)^2, \quad \eta = \left\{ \frac{n}{2}(p - \beta) - (\delta\beta^2 - \beta) \right\}^2$$

and

$$v = \left\{ (p - \beta)^2 + (\delta\beta^2 - \beta) \right\}^2 \text{ and } \sigma = (p - \beta)^2 (2\beta - 1)^2$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 h'(t) &= \frac{(p-\beta)^2}{\{(p-\beta)^2t+\beta^2\}^2} \left[2(p-\beta)^2\lambda t^3 + \{(p-\beta)^2(2\lambda + \eta - v + \sigma) + 3\beta^2\lambda\} t^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2\beta^2(2\lambda + \eta - v + \sigma)t + (\lambda + 2\eta - v + \sigma)\beta^2 - (p-\beta)^2\eta \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $h(t) = 0$, we obtain (13) which is cubic in t . Let t_0 be the smallest positive root of the equation, then we have $h(t) = h(t_0)$, and hence

$$|\varphi(ix, y; z)|^2 \geq h(t_0) = \rho^2$$

Define $\Omega = \{w : |w| < \rho\}$ then $\phi(P(z), zP(z); z) \in \Omega$ for all real x and $y = -n(1+x^2)/2, z \in \Delta$. Therefore by application of Lemma 1 the result follows. On taking $\beta = 0$ and $\mu = 1, \delta = 1, n = 1, p = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following interesting result.

Corollary 3 If $f(z) \in A_1(p, 0) = A_1(p)$ satisfies

$$\left| \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - p \right) \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right) \right| \leq \rho, (z \in \Delta)$$

where

$$\rho^2 = \frac{(1+t_0)}{t_0} \left[\frac{9}{4}t_0^2 + \frac{5}{2}t_0 + \frac{1}{4} \right]$$

t_0 is the smallest positive root by the equation

$$3t^3 + \frac{19}{4}t^2 - \frac{1}{4} = 0$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_1(p, 0)$.

Remark 1 On taking $\beta = 0$ and $\mu = 0$, $\delta = 1$, $n = 1$, $p = 1$ in Theorem , we obtain a known result due to Ravichandran et. Al. [1].

References

- [1] V. Ravichandran, C. Selvaraj, Rajagopal Rajalakshmi, *Sufficient Conditions for Starlike Functions of Order α* , Journal Inq. Pure Appl. Math., Volume 3, Article 81 [2003], 471-476.
- [2] H. Irmak, K. Piejko and J. Stankiewicz, *A note involving p -valently Bazilevič functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., Vol. 2005 (2005), 1149-1153.

- [3] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations and inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Differ. Equations, 67 (1987), 199211.

V.B.L Chaurasia, Vishal Saxena

Department of Mathematics

University of Rajasthan

Jaipur - 302055, India

e-mail: saxenavishal13@rediffmail.com