

Two and a Half Peaks


The Phenomenology of Yoctosecond Pulses from a Quark-Gluon Plasma

Peter Somkuti

somkuti@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at

Institute for Theoretical Physics
Vienna University of Technology

December 6th, 2011




[Ipp *et al.*, 2009]

- The anisotropic quark-gluon plasma (QGP)
- Photon production rate in the QGP
- Bjorken picture and anisotropy model
- Time dependent photon signals and double pulses!


Our whole universe was in a hot, dense state ..

The anisotropic quark-gluon plasma
QCD phase diagram, [Hands, 2001]




Ring of fire

The QGP in a heavy-ion collision





Along the beam axis

The QGP in a heavy-ion collision





Hot stuff

The QGP in a heavy-ion collision




Anisotropy buildup

Central rapidity region, [Bjorken, 1983]




a) $\tau \approx \tau_0$




b) $\tau > \tau_0$

Squeeze my lemon

Modified distribution function, [Romatschke & Strickland, 2003]



a) $\xi = 0$



b) $\xi = 5$


$$f(\mathbf{p}) = f_{\text{iso}}(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + \xi(\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}})^2})$$

ξ .. anisotropy parameter

We repeat


- QCD predicts deconfined phase at asymptotically high energies
- quark-gluon plasma is (probably) created in heavy-ion collisions
- the QGP expands primarily in the longitudinal direction
- momentum-space anisotropy (ξ) due to expansion

Why photons?

- QGP undergoes time evolution until freezeout
 - Hadrons can teach us about the freezeout surface
 - **Photons are produced throughout the entire collision!**
 - QGP lifetime: $\approx 13 \text{ fm}/c$ or $\approx 40 \cdot 10^{-24} \text{ s} = 40 \text{ ys!}$
- 

Photon production rate


Processes contributing to photon production at leading order in α_s



Hard scattering contributions
+ soft parts

Photon production rate


The setup



θ_p : Photon emission angle (detector position)

Photon production rate


[Schenke & Strickland, 2007]



Strong angle dependence!

Photon production rate


[Schenke & Strickland, 2007]



Strong energy dependence!

Bjorken picture for the QGP


Assumption: QGP is rapidity invariant



I see a red part and I want it painted blue


Doppler shift!

Rapidity invariance implemented as $\beta = z/t$




Time evolution of anisotropy parameter ξ

Transition parameter γ , [Martinez & Strickland, 2008]



Evolution of the photon rate at $\theta_p = 0$

(without plasma cooling)




We repeat again


- Photon rate depends on anisotropy (ξ), energy (E) and angle (θ_p)
- QGP is rapidity invariant: hyperbolas in Minkowski diagram
- Time evolution of anisotropy \rightarrow time evolution of photon rate!

Returning to the Minkowski diagram..

Space-like curve: photons produced will reach detector at same time t_d !




Photon rate at $\theta_p = \pi/2$




Photon pulses at $\theta_p = \frac{\pi}{2}$

for different impact parameters b , $E = 2$ GeV




A tale of two pulses

Photon rate at $\theta_p = \pi/4$ and $\tau_{\text{iso}} = 2 \text{ fm}/c$




Photon pulse at $\theta_p = \frac{\pi}{8}$

$b = 10 \text{ fm}$, $E = 3 \text{ GeV}$, $\tau_{\text{iso}} = 2 \text{ fm}/c$




Photon pulses at different emission angles

$b = 10 \text{ fm}$, $E = 2 \text{ GeV}$, $\tau_{\text{iso}} = 2 \text{ fm}/c$. Ordinates are differently scaled!





Hanbury Brown - Twiss correlations



$$C_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = \frac{P(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)}{P(\mathbf{k}_1)P(\mathbf{k}_2)}$$







Hanbury Brown - Twiss correlations

Collinear configuration: $\mathbf{k}_1 \parallel \mathbf{k}_2$.



That's all folks!

References

-  Bjorken, J. D. (1983).
Phys. Rev. **D27**, 140–151.
-  Hands, S. (2001).
Contemp.Phys. **42**, 209–225.
-  Ipp, A., Keitel, C. H., & Evers, J. (2009).
Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 152301.
-  Martinez, M. & Strickland, M. (2008).
Phys. Rev. **C78**, 034917.
-  Romatschke, P. & Strickland, M. (2003).
Phys. Rev. **D68**, 036004.
-  Schenke, B. & Strickland, M. (2007).
Phys. Rev. **D76**, 025023.