FOURIER STANDARD SPACES and the Kernel Theorem

Hans G. Feichtinger hans.feichtinger@univie.ac.at www.nuhag.eu

Currently Guest Prof. at TUM (with H. Boche)

Garching, TUM, July 13th, 2017



Hans G. Feichtinger hans.feichtinger@univie.ac.at www.m FOURIER STANDARD SPACES and the Kernel Theorem

OVERVIEW

We will concentrate on the setting of the LCA group $G = \mathbb{R}^d$, although all the results are valid in the setting of general **locally** compact Abelian groups as promoted by **A. Weil**.

Classical Fourier Analysis pays a lot of attention to $(\mathbf{L}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \|\cdot\|_{p})$ because these spaces (specifically for $p \in \{1, 2, \infty\}$) are important to set up the Fourier transform as an integral transform which also respects convolution (we have the convolution theorem) and preserving the energy (meaning that it is a unitary transform of the Hilbert space $(\mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \|\cdot\|_{2})$).

Occasionally the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is used and its dual $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of tempered distributions (e.g. for PDE and the *kernel theorem*, identifying operators from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with their distributional kernels in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$).

In the last 2-3 decades the Segal algebra $(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{S_0})$ (equal to the modulation space $(M^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{M^1})$) and its dual, $(S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{S_0'})$ or $M^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ have gained importance for many questions of Gabor analysis or time-frequency analysis.

Fourier standard spaces is a new name for a class of Banach spaces sandwiched in between $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with *two module structures*, one with respect to the Banach convolution algebra $(L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_1)$, and the other by pointwise multiplication with elements of the Fourier algebra $(\mathcal{FL}^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1})$.

As we shall point out there is a huge variety of such spaces, and many questions of Fourier analysis find an appropriate description in this context.



The spaces in this family are useful for a discussion of questions in Gabor Analysis, which is an important branch of time-frequency analysis, but also for problems of classical Fourier Analysis, such as the discussion of Fourier multipliers, Fourier inversion questions (requiring to work with the space $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{F}L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$), and many other spaces.

Within the family there are two subfamilies, namely the Wiener amalgam spaces and the so-called modulation spaces, among them the Segal algebra $(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{S_0})$ or Wiener's algebra $(W(C_0, \ell^1)(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_W)$.



★ 注 → ★ 注

The key-players for time-frequency analysis

Time-shifts and Frequency shifts

$$T_x f(t) = f(t-x)$$

and $x, \omega, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$M_{\omega}f(t)=e^{2\pi i\omega\cdot t}f(t)$$
.

Behavior under Fourier transform

$$(T_{x}f)^{=} M_{-x}\hat{f} \qquad (M_{\omega}f)^{=} T_{\omega}\hat{f}$$


The Short-Time Fourier Transform

$$V_{g}f(\lambda) = \langle f, \underline{M}_{\omega} T_{t}g \rangle = \langle f, \pi(\lambda)g \rangle = \langle f, g_{\lambda} \rangle, \ \lambda = (t, \omega);$$




3 ×

A Typical Musical STFT



Hans G. Feichtinger FOURIER STANDARD SPACES and the Kernel Theorem

Demonstration using GEOGEBRA (very easy to use!!)



Hans G. Feichtinger FOURIER STANDARD SPACES and the Kernel Theorem

Assuming that we use as a "window" a Schwartz function $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, or even the Gauss function $g_0(t) = exp(-\pi |t|^2)$, we can define the spectrogram for general tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$! It is a continuous function over *phase space*.

In fact, for the case of the Gauss function it is *analytic* and in fact a member of the *Fock space*, of interest within complex analysis.

Both from a pratical point of view and in view of this good smoothness one may expect that it is enough to sample this spectrogram, denoted by $V_g(f)$ and still be able to reconstruct f(in analogy to the reconstruction of a band-limited signal from regular samples, according to Shannon's theorem).

(E) (C) (E)

The spectrogram $V_g(f)$, with $g, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is well defined and has a number of good properties. Cauchy-Schwarz implies:

$$\|V_g(f)\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2, \quad f,g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

in fact $V_g(f) \in \boldsymbol{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d imes \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$. Plancherel's Theorem gives

$$\|V_g(f)\|_2 = \|g\|_2 \|f\|_2, \quad g, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Assuming that g is normalized in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, or $||g||_2 = 1$ makes $f \mapsto V_g(f)$ isometric, hence we request this from now on. Note: $V_g(f)$ is a complex-valued function, so we usually look at $|V_g(f)|$, or perhaps better $|V_g(f)|^2$, which can be viewed as a probability distribution over $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ if $||f||_2 = 1 = ||g||_2$.



The continuous reconstruction formula

Now we can apply a simple abstract principle: Given an isometric embedding T of \mathcal{H}_1 into \mathcal{H}_2 the inverse (in the range) is given by the adjoint operator $T^* : \mathcal{H}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_1$, simply because $\forall h \in \mathcal{H}_1$

$$\langle h,h\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} = \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^2 = (!) \|Th\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}^2 = \langle Th,Th\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \langle h,T^*Th\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1},$$
(1)

and thus by the *polarization principle* $T^*T = Id$. In our setting we have (assuming $||g||_2 = 1$) $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d)$, and $T = V_g$. It is easy to check that

$$V_g^*(F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} F(\lambda) \pi(\lambda) g \ d\lambda, \quad F \in \boldsymbol{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d), \quad (2)$$

understood in the weak sense, i.e. for $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we expect:

$$\langle V_g^*(F),h\rangle_{\boldsymbol{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d\times\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} F(x)\cdot\langle \pi(\lambda)g,h\rangle_{\boldsymbol{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}d\lambda.$$



Putting things together we have

$$\langle f,h\rangle = \langle V_g^*(V_g(f)),h\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} V_g(f)(\lambda) \cdot \overline{V_g(h)(\lambda)} \, d\lambda.$$
 (4)

A more suggestive presentation uses the symbol $g_{\lambda} := \pi(\lambda)g$ and describes the inversion formula for $\|g\|_2 = 1$ as:

$$f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d} \langle f, g_\lambda \rangle \, g_\lambda \, d\lambda, \quad f \in \boldsymbol{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$
(5)

This is quite analogous to the situation of the Fourier transform

$$f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle f, \chi_s \rangle \, \chi_s \, ds, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

with $\chi_s(t) = exp(2\pi i \langle s, t \rangle)$, $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d$, describing the "pure frequencies" (plane waves, resp. *characters* of \mathbb{R}^d).

Note the crucial difference between the classical formula (6) (Fourier inversion) and the new formula formula (5). The building blocks g_{λ} belong to the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$, in contrast to the characters $\chi_s \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence finite partial sums cannot approximate the functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the Fourier case, but they can (and in fact do) approximate f in the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -sense. The continuous reconstruction formula suggests that sufficiently fine (and extended) Riemannian-sum-type expressions approximate f. This is a valid view-point, at least for nice windows g (any Schwartz function, or any classical summability kernel is OK: see [F. Weisz] Inversion of the short-time Fourier transform using Riemannian sums for example [7]).

The reconstruction of f from its STFT (Short-time Fourier Transform) suggests that at least for "good windows" g one can control the smoothness (and/or decay) of a function or distribution by controlling the decay of $V_g(f)$ in the frequency resp. the time direction.

A polynomial weight depending on the frequency variable only can be used to describe Sobolev spaces, and (weighted) mixed-norm conditions can be used to define the (now classical) **modulation spaces** $(M_{p,q}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \|\cdot\|_{M_{p,q}^{s}}).$

We will put particular emphasis on the modulation spaces $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) = M^{1,1} = M^1$, characterized by the membership of $V_g(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d) = M^{\infty,\infty} = M^{\infty}$, with uniform convergence describing norm convergence in $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, while pointwise convergence corresponds to the w^* -convergence in $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition

A Banach space $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ is a *Banach module* over a Banach algebra $(A, \cdot, \|\cdot\|_A)$ if one has a bilinear mapping $(a, b) \mapsto a \bullet b$, from $A \times B$ into B bilinear and associative, such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{a} \bullet \boldsymbol{b}\|_{\boldsymbol{B}} \le \|\boldsymbol{a}\|_{\boldsymbol{A}} \|\boldsymbol{b}\|_{\boldsymbol{B}} \quad \forall \, \boldsymbol{a} \in \boldsymbol{A}, \, \boldsymbol{b} \in \boldsymbol{B}, \tag{7}$$

$$a_1 \bullet (a_2 \bullet b) = (a_1 \cdot a_2) \bullet b \quad \forall a_1, a_2 \in \boldsymbol{A}, b \in \boldsymbol{B}.$$
 (8)

Definition

A Banach space $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ is a *Banach ideal* in (or within, or of) a Banach algebra $(A, \cdot, \|\cdot\|_A)$ if $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ is continuously embedded into $(A, \cdot, \|\cdot\|_A)$, and if in addition (7) is valid with respect to the internal multiplication inherited from A.

۶¢

Theorem

The space of $\mathcal{H}_{L^1}(L^1, L^1)$ all bounded linear operators on $L^1(G)$ which commute with translations (or equivalently: with convolutions) is naturally and isometrically identified with $(M_b(G), \|\cdot\|_{M_b})$. In terms of our formulas this means

$$\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{L}^1}(\boldsymbol{L}^1,\boldsymbol{L}^1)(\mathbb{R}^d)\simeq(\boldsymbol{M}_b(\mathbb{R}^d),\|\cdot\|_{\boldsymbol{M}_b}),$$

via
$$T \simeq C_{\mu} : f \mapsto \mu * f$$
, $f \in L^1, \mu \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma

$$B_{L^1} = \{ f \in \mathbf{B} \mid || T_x f - f ||_{\mathbf{B}} \to 0, \text{ for } x \to 0 \}.$$

Consequently we have $(\mathbf{M}_b(\mathbb{R}^d))_{\mathbf{L}^1} = \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the closed ideal of absolutely continuous bounded measures on \mathbb{R}^d .

٨G

Via the Fourier transform we have similar statements for the Fourier algebra, involving the *Fourier Stieltjes algebra*.

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}\boldsymbol{L}^{1}}(\mathcal{F}\boldsymbol{L}^{1},\mathcal{F}\boldsymbol{L}^{1})=\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{M}_{b}(\mathbb{R}^{d})),\quad\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{M}_{b}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))_{\mathcal{F}\boldsymbol{L}^{1}}=\mathcal{F}\boldsymbol{L}^{1}.$$
 (9)

Theorem

The completion of $(C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ (viewed as a Banach algebra and module over itself) is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{C}_0}(\boldsymbol{C}_0, \boldsymbol{C}_0) = (\boldsymbol{C}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}).$$

On the other hand we have $(C_b(\mathbb{R}^d))_{C_0} = C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

< 3 > <

Essential part and closure

In the sequel we assume that $(\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ is a Banach algebra with bounded approximate units, such as $(\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_1)$ (with convolution), or $(\mathbf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ or $(\mathcal{FL}^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1})$ with pointwise multiplication.

Theorem

Let **A** be a Banach algebra with bounded approximate units, and **B** a Banach module over **A**. Then we have the following general identifications:

$$(B_A)_A = B_A, \quad (B^A)_A = B_A, \quad (B_A)^A = B^A, \quad (B^A)^A = B^A.$$

or in a slightly more compact form:

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}} = \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{A}}, \quad \boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{A}}_{\boldsymbol{A}} = \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{A}}, \quad \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{\boldsymbol{A}} = \boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{A}}, \quad \boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}} = \boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{A}}.$$
 (11)

The usual way to define the *essential part* B_A resp. B_e of a Banach module $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ with respect to some Banach algebra action $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \mapsto \mathbf{a} \bullet \mathbf{b}$ is defined as the closed linear span of $A \bullet B$ within $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$. This subspace has other nice characterizations using BAIs (bounded approximate units (BAI) in $(A, \|\cdot\|_A)$):

Lemma

For any BAI $(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$ in $(\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ one has: $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{A}} = \{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{B} \mid \lim_{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} \bullet \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}\}$

Hans G. Feichtinger FOURIER STANDARD SPACES and the Kernel Theorem

(12)

コン・ イヨン・ イヨン

In particular one has: Let $(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in I}$ and $(\mathbf{u}_b)_{\beta \in J}$ be two bounded approximate units (i.e. bounded nets within $(\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ acting in the limit like an identity in the Banach algebra $(\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$. Then

$$\lim_{\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha} \bullet \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\beta} \mathbf{u}_{\beta} \bullet \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}.$$
 (13)

Theorem

(The Cohen-Hewitt factorization theorem, without proof, see [5]) Let $(\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ be a Banach algebra with some BAI of size C > 0, then the algebra factorizes, which means that for every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{A}$ there exists a pair $\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{h}' \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{h}' \cdot \mathbf{a}'$, in short: $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}$. In fact, one can even choose $\|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}'\| \le \varepsilon$ and $\|\mathbf{h}'\| \le C$.

Null

Essential part and closure II

Having now Banach spaces of distributions which have two module structures, we have to use corresponding symbols. FROM NOW ON we will use the letter A mostly for pointwise Banach algebras and thus for the $\mathcal{F}L^1$ -action on $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$, and we will use the symbol G (because convolution is coming from the integrated group action!) for the L^1 convolution structure. We thus have

$$B_{GG} = B_{G}, \quad B^{G}_{G} = B_{G}, \quad B^{G}_{G} = B^{G}, \quad B^{GG} = B^{G}.$$
 (14)

In this way we can combine the two operators (in view of the above formulas we can call them interior and closure operation) with respect to the two module actions and form spaces such as

$$B^{G}_{A}, B_{A}^{G}_{A}, B^{G}_{A}_{A} \dots$$

or changes of arbitrary length, as long as the symbols \boldsymbol{A} and \boldsymbol{G} appear in alternating form (at any position, upper or lower)



Fortunately one can verify (paper with W.Braun from 1983, J.Funct.Anal.) that any "long" chain can be reduced to a chain of at most two symbols, the *last occurence of each of the two symbols being the relevant one!* So in fact all the three symbols in the above chain describe the same space of distributions. But still we are left with the following collection of altogether eight two-letter symbols:

$$\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{A}}, \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{G}}, \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{A}}{}^{\boldsymbol{G}}, \boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{G}}{}_{\boldsymbol{A}}, \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{G}}{}^{\boldsymbol{A}}, \boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{A}}{}_{\boldsymbol{G}}, \boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{G}}, \boldsymbol{B}^{\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{A}}$$
(15)

and of course the four one-symbol objects

$$B_A, B_G, B^A, B^G$$



There are other, quite simple and useful facts, such as


$$\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(\boldsymbol{B}^{1}_{\boldsymbol{A}},\boldsymbol{B}^{2}) = \mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{A}}(\boldsymbol{B}^{1}_{\boldsymbol{A}},\boldsymbol{B}^{2}_{\boldsymbol{A}})$$
(17)

which can easily be verified if $B^1_A = A \bullet B^1$, since then $T \in \mathcal{H}_A(B^1_A, B^2)$ applied to $\mathbf{b}^1 = \mathbf{a} \bullet \mathbf{b}^{1'}$ gives

$$T(\mathbf{b}^1) = T(\mathbf{a} \bullet \mathbf{b}^{1'}) = \mathbf{a} \bullet T(\mathbf{b}^{1'}) \in \mathbf{B}^2_{\mathbf{A}}.$$



The Main Diagram



Hans G. Feichtinger FOURIER STANDARD SPACES and the Kernel Theorem

Definition

A Banach space $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$, continuously embedded between $S_0(G)$ and $(S_0'(G), \|\cdot\|_{S_0'})$, i.e. with

 $(\mathbf{S}_0(G), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{S}_0}) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{S}_0'(G), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{S}_0'})$

is called a **Fourier Standard Space** on *G* (FSS of FoSS) if it has a *double module structure*: over $(\mathbf{M}_b(G), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{M}_b})$ with respect to *convolution* and over (the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra) $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{M}_b(\widehat{G}))$ with respect to *pointwise multiplication*.

REMARK: One could unify this assumption by combining the two separate (commutative) group actions by the *integrated* group action of the reduced Heisenberg group $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}$ under the Schrödinger representation: $\pi(t, s, \tau) = \tau M_s T_t$.



TF-homogeneous Banach Spaces

A sufficient setting is the following one:

Definition

A Banach space $(\boldsymbol{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\boldsymbol{B}})$ with

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

is called a **TF-homogeneous Banach space** if $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ and TF-shifts act isometrically on $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$, i.e. if

$$\|\pi(\lambda)f\|_{\boldsymbol{B}} = \|f\|_{\boldsymbol{B}}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d, f \in \boldsymbol{B}.$$
 (18)

For such spaces the mapping $\lambda \to \pi(\lambda)f$ is continuous from $\mathbb{R}^d \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}}^d$ to $(\boldsymbol{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\boldsymbol{B}})$. If it is not continuous on often has the *adjoint action* on the dual space of such TF-homogeneous Banach spaces (e.g. $(\boldsymbol{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ or $(\boldsymbol{M}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\boldsymbol{M}_b}))$:

An important fact concerning this family is the minimality property of the Segal algebra $(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{S_0})$.

Theorem

There is a smallest member in the family of all TF-homogeneous Banach spaces, namely the Segal algebra $(\mathbf{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{S}_0}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathcal{F}\mathbf{L}^1, \ell^1)(\mathbb{R}^d).$ There is also a maximal space in the family of Fourier standard spaces, namely the dual space $(\mathbf{S}_0'(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{S}_0'})$ resp. $\mathbf{W}(\mathcal{F}\mathbf{L}^\infty, \ell^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d).$

The second claim even makes sense if FouSSs are defined as subspaces of the much larger space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of tempered distributions!



(E)

For each of the Fourier Standard Spaces the discussion of the above diagram makes sense. One may see that it can collaps totally to a single space, or that it has in fact a rich (like $(C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}))$ or simple structure.

Theorem

A Fourier standard space is maximal, i.e. coicides with $\tilde{B} = B^{AG} = B^{GA}$ if and only if B is a dual space.

There is also a formula for the predual spaces, it is $((B_0)')_0$, where $B_0 = B_{AG} = B_{GA}$ is just the closure of $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ resp. $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in B.

Of course $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ is minimal if and only if $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a dense subspace of $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$, resp. if it is a TF-homogeneous Banach space.

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Theorem

A Fourier standard space is reflexive if and only if both the space $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ and its dual are both minimal and maximal. In other words, for the space itself and its dual the diagram is reduced to a single space $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$.



Constructions within the FouSS Family

- taking Fourier transforms;
- conditional dual spaces, i.e. the dual space of the closure of S₀(G) within (B, || · ||_B);
- **(3)** with two spaces B^1, B^2 : take intersection or sum
- forming amalgam spaces $W(B, \ell^q)$; e.g. $W(\mathcal{FL}^1, \ell^1)$;
- defining pointwise or convolution multipliers;
- using complex (or real) interpolation methods, so that we get the (Fourier invariant) spaces M^{p,p} = W(FL^p, l^p);
- fractional invariant kernel and hull: For any given standard space (B, || · ||_B) we could define the largest Banach space inside of B which is invariant under all the fractional FTs, or the smallest such space which allows a continuous embedding of (B, || · ||_B) into that space.

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

To explain the setting let us start with the familiar family of L^{p} -spaces on a LCA group, say $G = \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and $(B, \|\cdot\|_{B}) = (L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \|\cdot\|_{p})$, for some $p \in [1, \infty)$. The $(\mathcal{FL}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \|\cdot\|_{p})$ is well defined as the image of $(L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \|\cdot\|_{p})$ under the Fourier transform, with transport of norm. It is another FouSS, even for p > 2 (because it is still well defined as a subspace of $(S_{0}'(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \|\cdot\|_{S_{0}'})$).

It is a natural question to find the range of values (r, s) such that

$$W(\mathcal{F}L^{p}, \ell^{r}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}L^{p} \subseteq W(\mathcal{F}L^{p}, \ell^{s}).$$

Investigations by Peter Gröbner have shown (1992) that this is OK if and only iff $r \leq min(p, p')$ and $s \geq max(p, p')$.



Modulation spaces are Fourier Standard Spaces

The unweighted modulation spaces $(M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{M^{p,q}})$ can be obtained by first forming the Wiener amalgams $W(\mathcal{FL}^p, \ell^q)$ and then taking the inverse Fourier transform of these spaces.

The above inclusion relations then translate into exact embedding conditions between L^{p} -spaces and the corresponding modulation spaces.

Obviously there are natural embeddings between modulation spaces with parameters p_1, q_1 and p_2, q_2 , with $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d) = M^1 = M^{1,1}$ being the smallest one!



There is a small body of literature (mostly papers by Kelly McKennon, a former PhD student of Edwin Hewitt) concerning spaces of "tempered elements". He has done the case starting $B = L^{p}(G)$, over general LC groups, but the construction makes sense if (and only if) one has a nice invariant space which happens not to be a convolution (or pointwise) algebra. By intersecting the space with its own "multiplier algebra" one obtains an (abstract) Banach algebra, and often the Banach algebra homomorphism of this new algebra "are" just the translation invariant operators on the original spaces. For the case of $B = (L^p(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_p)$ one would define

 $\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{t} := \boldsymbol{L}^{\boldsymbol{p}} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{G}}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\boldsymbol{p}}, \boldsymbol{L}^{\boldsymbol{p}}).$



向下 イヨト イヨト

understood as the intersection of two FouSSs, with the natural norm, which is the sum of the L^{p} -norm of f plus the operator norm of the convolution operator.

For p > 2 one has to be careful and has to define that operator norm only by looking at the action of $k \to k * f$ on $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$! (convolution in the pointwise sense might fail to exist, on more than just a null-set!).

However it is not a problem to approximate every element (in norm or even just in the w^* -sense by test-functions in $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and then take the limit of the convolution products of the regularized expressions.



• = • •

Another interesting result that came recently to my attention (thanks to Werner Ricker) provides an answer to the following question related to the Theorem of Hausdorff-Young: We know, that one has that $\mathcal{FL}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \subset L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ for 1/p + 1/q = 1, whenever $p \in [1, 2]$. But is there any strictly larger, solid Banach space $(\boldsymbol{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\boldsymbol{B}})$ (meaning pointwise $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ -module) such that it is still true that $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{B}) \subset L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$).

The *answer* can be descibed as the FouSS (with natural norm):

$$\boldsymbol{B}=\mathcal{H}_{\boldsymbol{L}^{\infty}}(\boldsymbol{L}^{\infty},\mathcal{F}\boldsymbol{L}^{\boldsymbol{p}}).$$

In words: the pointwise multipliers from $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{FL}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Let us recall some basic terms concerning tensor products of functions or distributions (see [1], [2]) Given two functions f^1 and f^2 on \mathbb{R}^d respectively, we set $f^1 \otimes f^2$

$$f^1 \otimes f^2(x_1, x_2) = f^1(x_1)f^2(x_2), \ x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, i = 1, 2.$$

For distributions this definition can be extended by taking w^* -limits or by duality, just like $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ is defined, for two bounded measures $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is important to know that we have $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \in S_0'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for any pair of distributions $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d) \widehat{\otimes} S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is well defined and a (proper) subspace of $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.



Given two Banach spaces B^1 and B^2 embedded into $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $B^1 \hat{\otimes} B^2$ denotes their *projective tensor product*, i.e.

$$\left\{ f \mid f = \sum f_n^1 \otimes f_n^2, \ \sum \|f_n^1\|_{B^1} \|f_n^2\|_{B^2} < \infty \right\};$$
(19)

It is easy to show that this defines a Banach space of tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^{2d} with respect to the (quotient) norm:

$$\|f\|_{\hat{\otimes}} := \inf\{\sum \|f_n^1\|_{B^1} \|f_n^2\|_{B^2}, ..\}$$
(20)

where the infimum is taken over all admissible representations.



For questions of harmonic analysis the so-called Varopoulos algebra $V_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) := C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \widehat{\otimes} C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ plays an important role. The dual space of this tensor product, which is a proper subspace of $C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is called the space of *bi-measures* $BM(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, which form again a Banach algebra with respect to convolution. Their Fourier transforms (in the sense of S_0') are still well defined, and are bounded continuous functions, and one has again a *convolution theorem* (convolution goes into pointwise multiplication under the FT).

The space **BM** shares with $M_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the property that the compactly supported elements are dense in the space, i.e. $B = B_A$ in the spirit of the diagram.



The kernel theorem for the Schwartz space can be read as follows:

Theorem

For every continuous linear mapping T from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exists a unique tempered distribution $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that

$$T(f)(g) = \sigma(f \otimes g), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$
 (21)

Conversely, any such $\sigma \in S'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ induces a (unique) operator T such that (21) holds.

The proof of this theorem is based on the fact that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a *nuclear Frechet space*, i.e. has the topology generated by a sequence of semi-norms, can be described by a metric which turns $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into a complete metric space.



Tensor products are also most suitable in order to describe the set of all operators with certain mapping properties. The backbone of the corresponding theorems are the *kernel-theorem* which reads as follows (!! despite the fact that $(S_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{S_0})$ is NOT a *nuclear Frechet space*)

One of the corner stones for the kernel theorem is: One of the most important properties of $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (leading to a characterization given by V. Losert, [6]) is the tensor-product factorization:

Lemma

$$S_0(\mathbb{R}^k) \hat{\otimes} S_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong S_0(\mathbb{R}^{k+n}),$$

with equivalence of the corresponding norms.

(22

・ 回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

The Kernel Theorem for general operators in $\mathcal{L}(S_0, S_0')$:

Theorem

If K is a bounded operator from $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then there exists a unique kernel $k \in S_0'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $\langle Kf, g \rangle = \langle k, g \otimes f \rangle$ for $f, g \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $g \otimes f(x, y) = g(x)f(y)$.

Formally sometimes one writes by "abuse of language"

$$Kf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x,y)f(y)dy$$

with the understanding that one can define the action of the functional $Kf\in {old S}_0'({\mathbb R}^d)$ as

$$Kf(g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, y) f(y) dy g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x, y) g(x) f(y) dx dy$$

This result is the "outer shell" of the Gelfand triple isomorphism. The "middle = Hilbert" shell which corresponds to the well-known result that Hilbert Schmidt operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are just those compact operators which arise as integral operators with $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ -kernels.



∃ ►

Theorem

The classical kernel theorem for Hilbert Schmidt operators is unitary at the Hilbert spaces level, with $\langle T, S \rangle_{\mathcal{HS}} = \text{trace}(T * S')$ as scalar product on \mathcal{HS} and the usual Hilbert space structure on $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ on the kernels.

Moreover, such an operator has a kernel in $S_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ if and only if the corresponding operator K maps $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but not only in a bounded way, but also continuously from w^* -topology into the norm topology of $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In analogy to the matrix case, where the entries of the matrix

$$a_{k,j} = T(\mathbf{e}_j)_k = \langle T(\mathbf{e}_j), \mathbf{e}_k \rangle$$

we have for $K \in S_0$ the continuous version of this principle:

$$K(x,y) = \delta_x(T(\delta_y), \quad x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$



The different version of the kernel theorem for operators between S_0 and S_0' can be summarized using the terminology of Banach Gelfand Triples (BGTR) as follows.

Theorem

There is a unique Banach Gelfand Triple isomorphism between the Banach Gelfand triple of kernels $(S_0, L^2, S_0')(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and the operator Gelfand triple around the Hilbert space \mathcal{HS} of Hilbert Schmidt operators, namely $(\mathcal{L}(S_0', S_0), \mathcal{HS}, \mathcal{L}(S_0, S_0'))$, where the first set is understood as the w^* to norm continuous operators from $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $S_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the so-called regularizing operators.

(3)

The kernel theorem allows to identify many spaces of linear operators (with different forms of continuity) with suitable FouSSs over \mathbb{R}^{2d} .

For example, there are the so-called *Schatten classes* of operators on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which are compact operators with singular values in ℓ^p , for $1 \le p < \infty$. These spaces are *operator ideals* within $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. they are Banach spaces, continuously embedded into the space of compact operators over the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , as well as two-sided Banach ideals, i.e. whenever one has an operator T in such a space, and two bounded operators S_1, S_2 on \mathcal{H} , then $S_1 \circ T \circ S_2$ also belongs to that *operator ideal* and the operator ideal norm is bounded by the operator ideal norm of T multiplied with the operator norms of S_1 and S_2 .

Spaces of Operators

Another family of operators are defined by their boundedness between certain FouSSs, e.g. an operator may be bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (with $p \in [1,\infty)$) to some $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Each of these operators has a kernel, so we can look at the set of all the kernels of bounded operators from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for p, q in the range described above, simply by testing the norm continuity on the dense subspace (of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $p < \infty$) and embedding the target space into $(S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{S_0'})$.

Theorem

Consider the Banach space of operators $L(L^p, L^q)$, with $1 \le p, q < \infty$, which is isomorphic to a space of kernels in $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, with the norm of the kernel being just the operator norm of the corresponding operator. Then the space of kernels is isomorphic to the dual of the EquSS

Then the space of kernels is ismorphic to the dual of the FouSS $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})\widehat{\otimes}L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^{d}).$

/e

Next we define the Herz algebras $A^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ via the "convolution tensor product: The dual space of the space of all (convolution) multipliers from $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ to $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ (for $1) can be identified with the dual space of the Herz algebra <math>A_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$, given by

$$\boldsymbol{A}_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) := \boldsymbol{L}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \widehat{\circledast} \boldsymbol{L}^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{d}).$$

In the background of such a theorem stands the fact that a matrix commutes with (cyclic) translations if and only if it is constant along the side-diagonals. The kernels of such operators are constant along the main diagonal, respectively are a "moving average". Spectral synthesis results for the Fourier transform on $S_0'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then allow to derive this result.



Spaces of Operators III



≣≯

Hans G. Feichtinger FOURIER STANDARD SPACES and the Kernel Theorem

References



W. Braun and Hans G. Feichtinger.

Banach spaces of distributions having two module structures. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 51:174–212, 1983.



Elena Cordero, Hans G. Feichtinger, and Franz Luef.

Banach Gelfand triples for Gabor analysis.

In Pseudo-differential Operators, volume 1949 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 1–33. Springer, Berlin, 2008.



Hans G. Feichtinger and Georg Zimmermann.

A Banach space of test functions for Gabor analysis.

In Hans G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, editors, *Gabor Analysis and Algorithms: Theory and Applications*, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, pages 123–170, Boston, MA, 1998. Birkhäuser Boston.



Karlheinz Gröchenig.

Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis. Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2001.



Edwin Hewitt and Kenneth A. Ross.

Abstract Harmonic Analysis. Vol. II: Structure and Analysis for Compact Groups. Analysis on Locally Compact Abelian Groups.

Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1970.



Viktor Losert.

A characterization of the minimal strongly character invariant Segal algebra. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 30:129–139, 1980.



Ferenc Weisz.

Inversion of the short-time Fourier transform using Riemannian sums. J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 13(3):357–368, 2007.

FOURIER STANDARD SPACES and the Kernel Theorem

< ≣ >

